

Synthesis of new binary trimethoxyphenylfuran pyrimidinones as proficient and sustainable corrosion inhibitors for carbon steel in acidic medium: experimental, surface morphology analysis, and theoretical studies

Hajar A. Ali¹, Ahmed. A. El-Hossiany^{1,2}, Ashraf S. Abousalem³, Mohamed A. Ismail¹, Abd El-Aziz S. Fouda^{1*} and Eslam A. Ghaith 1^*

Abstract

In this study, synthesis and assessment of the corrosion inhibition of four new binary heterocyclic pyrimidinones on CS in 1.0 M hydrochloric acid solutions at various temperatures (30–50 °C) were investigated. The synthesized molecules were designed and synthesized through Suzuki coupling reaction, the products were identifed as 5-((5-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)furan-2-yl)methylene)pyrimidine-2,4,6(1*H*,3*H*,5*H*)-trione (**HM-1221**), 2-thioxo-5-((5-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)furan-2-yl)methylene)dihydropyrimidine-4,6(1*H*,5*H*)-dione (**HM-1222**), 1,3-diethyl-2-thioxo-5-((5-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)furan-2-yl)methylene)dihydropyrimidine-4,6(1*H*,5*H*)-dione (**HM-1223**) and 1,3-dimethyl-5-((5-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)furan-2-yl)methylene)pyrimidine-2,4,6(1*H*,3*H*,5*H*)-trione (**HM-1224**). The experiments include weight loss measurements (WL), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and potentiodynamic polarization (PDP). From the measurements, it can be shown that the inhibition efficiency (*η*) of these organic derivatives increases with increasing the doses of inhibitors. The highest *η* recorded from EIS technique were 89.3%, 90.0%, 92.9% and 89.7% at a concentration of 11× 10−6 M and 298 K for **HM-1221**, **HM-1222**, **HM-1223**, and **HM-1224**, respec‑ tively. The adsorption of the considered derivatives fit to the Langmuir adsorption isotherm. Since the ΔG^o_{ads} values were found to be between − 20.1 and − 26.1 kJ mol⁻¹, the analyzed isotherm plots demonstrated that the adsorption process for these derivatives on CS surface is a mixed-type inhibitors. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy dis‑ persive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), atomic force microscope (AFM) and Fourier- transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) were utilized to study the surface morphology, whereby, quantum chemical analysis can support the mechanism of inhibition. DFT data and experimental fndings were found in consistent agreement.

Keywords Corrosion inhibition, Carbon steel, Pyrimidinone derivatives, Quantum chemical calculations

*Correspondence: Abd El‑Aziz S. Fouda asfouda@hotmail.com Eslam A. Ghaith abdelghaffar@mans.edu.eg Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Introduction

Corrosion is a natural phenomenon $[1-3]$ $[1-3]$ $[1-3]$ in which metals and alloys transform into more stable forms such as oxides and sulfdes by reacting directly with the surrounding environment [\[4](#page-29-2), [5](#page-29-3)]. However, some metallic components exposed to corrosive aqueous media, especially in acidic conditions, may sufer severe degradation of their properties and durability, leading to the disintegration of CS and failures [[6\]](#page-29-4). Corrosion has signifcant implications for human safety [\[7](#page-29-5)] and various industries due to its negative impact, notably the gas and oil sector, making it a critical area of research [\[8](#page-29-6)]. Carbon steel (CS) is a vital component in construction and industrial feld [[9–](#page-29-7)[12\]](#page-29-8) due to its high mechanical properties, low temperature toughness, hydrogen-induced crack and fracture resistances, weldability [[13](#page-29-9)] and remarkable economy, besides the possibilities for its environmental, technical and economic recycling in the concrete production industry $[14–16]$ $[14–16]$ $[14–16]$ $[14–16]$. However, one of the major drawbacks of using CS is its high susceptibility to corrosion in corrosive conditions, such as during the pickling process using HCl [\[17–](#page-29-12)[19\]](#page-29-13), which is widely employed in industries such as chemical cleaning [\[20](#page-29-14)], pickling iron, boiler descaling, scrubbing, [[21,](#page-30-0) [22](#page-30-1)] and oil well acidifcation [[23](#page-30-2), [24\]](#page-30-3). Nevertheless, carbon steel corrosion is an inevitable but controllable phenomenon [[22\]](#page-30-1). Among the available methods for corrosion control in acidic solutions, the use of inhibitors is considered an efective approach for protecting metals from corrosion [\[25\]](#page-30-4). Organic inhibitors containing π conjugated electrons, aromatic rings and heteroatoms are commonly used to prevent metal corrosion $[26-29]$ $[26-29]$. Organic scaffolds containing active sites such as oxygen, nitrogen and sulfur in their structures show higher inhibitory efficiency than other molecules having only a single heteroatom [[30\]](#page-30-7) through either chemical, physical or both adsorption mechanisms on

the metal surface $[31-33]$ $[31-33]$. The aforementioned inhibitors block the active sites on the CS surface by forming protective coating layers [[34](#page-30-10)] and reducing the corrosive effects $[35]$ $[35]$. The adsorption process can be influenced by the inhibitor structure $[36]$ $[36]$, the nature of the metal surface, and the type of corrosive conditions [[37](#page-30-13)]. Motivated by the above-mentioned aspects, the synthesis and investigation of new eco-friendly corrosion inhibitors is highly desirable, as the application of green chemistry is essential to the field of corrosion research. The percentages of inhibition efficiency (η) of some reported analogues of pyrimidine derivatives are shown in Table [1](#page-2-0).

The aim of the present work was to design and investigate new synthesized trimethoxyphenylfuran pyrimidinone derivatives as potential CS corrosion inhibitors at low concentrations in an acidic medium. This study involved electrochemical measurements, weight loss analysis, and surface examination of CS using AFM, SEM, FTIR and EDX methods. Moreover, the thermodynamic and kinetic parameters were calculated and discussed. The adsorption of four furan pyrimidinone scafolds on the CS was also investigated at diferent soaking times to understand the interactions between the furylidene-pyrimidinone scafolds and the CS surface. Furthermore, the proposed mechanism for corrosion inhibition was elucidated by quantum chemistry calculations for the four furylidene-pyrimidinone derivatives. Ultimately, we aimed to use these inhibitors to prevent pipeline corrosion and rusting in various industrial processes.

Experimental

Materials

Table [2](#page-3-0) illustrates the molecular structures, formulas, molecular weights, yield, shape and melting point (m.p.) of four novel furylidene-pyrimidinone derivatives, **HM-1221**, **HM-1222**, **HM-1223**, and **HM-1224**. The synthesis and characterization in details are shown in the experimental section. (For IR, NMR, and Mass

Table 1 Literature reviews on the corrosion inhibition behavior of similar pyrimidine derivatives studied before

Inhibitor code	Molecular structures	Chemical properties
HM-1221	\mathbf{H} \mathbf{N} MeO \mathbf{O} \mathcal{L}^{\bullet}	$F.wt = C_{18}H_{16}N_2O_7$ $M.wt = 372.33$
	MeO ŃΗ	Yield $=69%$
	MeO	Shape: Red powder
	5a	$M.P. = 296 - 298$
HM-1222	H N	$F.wt. = C_{18}H_{16}N_2O_6S$
	MeO ∕.S $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{A}}$	$M.wt = 388.39$
	ŃΗ MeO	Yield = $78%$
	O 5 _b MeO	Shape: Dark Red powder
		$M.P. = 280 - 282$
HM-1223	MeO	$F.wt. = C_{22}H_{24}N_2O_6S$
	\mathbf{o} .S	$M.wt = 444.50$
	MeO N	Yield = $73%$
	5c MeO	Shape: Reddish brown powder
		$M.P. = 178 - 179$
HM-1224	Me	$F.wt = C_{20}H_{20}N_2O_7$
	MeO Ń \mathbf{o} $\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	$M.wt = 400.39$
	MeO N	Yield = $71%$
	Me 5d MeO	Shape: Orange powder
		$M.P. = 240 - 242$

Table 2 The chemical and physical properties of investigated inhibitors

spectra of the investigated inhibitors see the supplementary material).

Methodology for synthesizing of the investigated inhibitors

Synthesis of furylidene‑ pyrimidinone derivatives 5a‑d 5-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl) furan-2-carbaldehyde (**3**, HM-1220):

A mixture of 5-bromo-1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene **1** (2.50 g, 10 mmol), and Pd $(PPh_3)_4$ (250 mg) was dissolved in 20 mL toluene, then adding Na_2CO_3 (10 mL, 2M), and methanolic solution of (5-formylfuran-2-yl) boronic acid 2 (1.68g, 12 mmol). The mixture was allowed to heat at 80°C with stirring for \sim 12 h, after that extraction with ethyl acetate $(250 \text{ mL}, 3x)$. The resultant product was recrystallized from EtOH to yield 5-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl) furan-2-carbaldehyde **3** as an anticipated product. Compound **3** was attained in 59% yield as a yellow solid, m.p. = 117–118°C, Lit $[42]$ $[42]$ m.p. = 118 °C. IR (KBr) v $\sqrt{cm^{-1}}$: 3102 (sp² C–H), 2932 (sp³ CH), 2844, 2805 (C–H of CHO), 1725, 1688, 1590 (C=O and C=C). MS (EI) m/e (rel.int.) for $C_{14}H_{14}O_5$ (262.26); 262.97 (M⁺, 91.01%), 159.01 (100%).

General procedure for the synthesis of furylidene‑pyrimi‑ dinone derivatives 5a‑d Condensation reaction of a mixture of furan-2-carbaldehyde **3** (200 mg, 0.76 mmol), active methylene groups (1.52 mmol), namely, barbituric, thiobarbituric, 1,3-diethyl-2-thiobarbituric, 1,3-dimethylbarbituric acid in a mixture of 30 mL MeOH/AcOH (2:1) was refuxed for 12 h. While hot, the aforementioned products **5a-d** were obtained by fltering the precipitate, washing it with MeOH, and recrystallizing it from the suitable solvent.

5-((5-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)furan-2-yl)methylene) pyrimidine-2,4,6(1*H*,3*H*,5*H*)-trione *(5a, HM-1221)*

IR (KBr) $v\text{/cm}^{-1}$: 3388 (NH), 3189, 3120, 3053 (sp²) C–H), 2985, 2961, 2933 (sp³ C–H), 1751, 1661 (C=O), 1591, 1538, 1481 (C=C) (Figure S1). ¹H-NMR (DMSO*d6*); δ ppm 3.70 (s, 3H, *p*-methoxy-H's), 3.87 (s, 6H, *m*-dimethoxy-H's), 7.25 (s, 2 H_{arom}), 7.48 (d, *J* = 3.5 *Hz*, 1H, furan-H), 8.13 (s, 1H,=CH), 8.58 (d, *J*=3.5 *Hz*, 1H, furan-H), 11.23 (s, 1H exchangeable with D_2O , NH), 11.32 (s, 1H exchangeable with D_2O , NH) (Figure S2). ¹³C-NMR; δ ppm 56.18 (2C), 60.24, 102.84 (2C), 111.41, 111.69, 123.84, 129.79, 136.11, 139.33, 149.86, 150.31,

153.51 (2C), 160.45, 162.30, 163.57 (Figure S2). MS (EI) m/e (rel.int.) for $C_{18}H_{16}N_2O_7$ (372.33); 372.14 (M⁺, 29.18%), 226.98 (100%) (Figure S3).

2-Thioxo-5-((5-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)furan-2-yl) methylene)dihydropyrimidine-4,6(1*H*,5*H*)-*dione (5b, HM-1222)*

IR (KBr) v [']/cm⁻¹: 3424 (N–H), 2925 (sp³ C–H), 1651 $(C=O)$, 1541 $(C=C)$, 1379 $(C=S)$ (Figure S1). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*6);δ ppm 3.71 (s, 3H, *p*-methoxy-H's), 3.88 (s, 6H, *m*-dimethoxy-H's), 7.28 (s, 2H_{arom}), 7.55 (d, J=4.0 *Hz*, 1H, furan-H), 8.14 (s, 1H,=CH), 8.66 (d, *J*=4.0 *Hz*, 1H, furan-H), 12.36 (s, 1H, NH), 12.41 (s, 1H, NH) (Figure S2). MS (EI) m/e (rel.int.) for $C_{18}H_{16}N_2O_6S$ (388.39); 388.94 (M+, 100%) (Figure S3).

1,3-Diethyl-2-thioxo-5-((5-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl) furan-2-yl)methylene)dihydropyrimidine-4,6(1*H*,5*H*) dione *(5c, HM-1223):*

IR (KBr) v [']/cm⁻¹: 3167, 3113 (sp² C–H), 2977, 2932, 2833 (sp3 C–H), 1691, 1659 (C=O), 1566, 1477 (C=C), 1387 (C=S) (Figure S1). ¹H-NMR (DMSO*-d₆*); δ ppm 1.18–1.24 (m, 6H, CH₃ of diethyl groups-H's), 3.72 (s, 3H, *p*-methoxy-H's), 3.89 (s, 6H, *m*-dimethoxy-H's), 4.40– 4.47 (m, 4H, CH₂ of diethyl groups-H's), 7.32 (s, 2H_{arom}), 7.60 (d, *J*=4.0 *Hz*, 1H, furan-H), 8.27 (s, 1H,=CH), 8.73 (d, *J*=4.0 *Hz*, 1H, furan-H) (Figure S2). 13C-NMR; δ 12.16, 12.20, 42.77, 43.37, 56.20 (2C), 60.25, 103.26 (2C), 110.87, 112.61, 123.49, 131.84, 138.32, 139.83, 150.40, 153.53, 158.55 (2C), 160.47, 162.01, 178.47 ppm (Figure S2). MS (EI) m/e (rel.int.) for $C_{22}H_{24}N_2O_6S$ (444.50); 444.99 (M+, 93.25%), 334.24 (100%) (Figure S3).

1,3-Dimethyl-5-((5-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)furan-2-yl)methylene)pyrimidine-2,4,6(1*H*,3*H*,5*H*)-trione (5d, HM-1224)

IR (KBr) $v\$ /cm^{-1}: 3170, 3128 (sp² C–H), 2942, 2839 (sp³ C–H), 1724, 1663 (C=O), 1576, 1476 (C=C) (Figure S1). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆); δ ppm 3.24 (s, 6H, 2CH₃), 3.72 (s, 3H, *p*-methoxy-H's), 3.89 (s, 6H, *m*-dimethoxy-H's), 7.29 (s, 2 Harom), 7.53 (d, *J*=4.0 *Hz*, 1H, furan-H), 8.24 (s, 1H,=CH), 8.65 (d, *J*=4.0 *Hz*, 1H, furan-H) (Figure S2). MS (EI) m/e (rel.int.) for $C_{20}H_{20}N_2O_7$ (400.39); 400.34 (M⁺, 65.08%), 274.96 (100%) (Figure S3).

Materials

Corrosion inhibition experiments have been carried out on CS with the following chemical composition (wt. %): (C: 0.07, Si: 0.05, Ti: 0.001, Mn: 0.3, Al: 0.03, S: 0.01, P: 0.022, and Fe balance). The materials were cut into coupons of size 2 $cm \times 2$ $cm \times 0.2$ cm for the WL tests. The working electrode used in surface morphology and electrochemical studies has an exposed area of 1 cm^2 . All the chemicals and reagents were purchased from

Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals, all were of analytical grade, and solutions were prepared using double distilled water.

Solutions

One molar HCl (37%) stock solution was made by dilution with double-distilled water. The synthesized compounds were dispersed in a combination of 5 mL DMSO and 25 mL EtOH to generate a stock solution of dosage inhibitors with a concentration of 1×10^{-3} M. Furthermore, the concentration varieties of the studied compounds were $(1 \times 10^{-6} -11 \times 10^{-6}$ M) and were prepared by dilution.

Weight loss (WL) method

We measured WL using CS specimens at $(30-50 \degree C)$ temperatures. Prior to being submerged in the test solution, the CS surface was polished using sandpaper grades (320–2000), cleaned with distilled water, allowed to dry at ambient temperature, and weighed. CS specimens were weighed before and after immersion in 100 mL of 1.0 M HCl without and with varied inhibitor dosages every 30 min for 3 h. The following equations were used to determine *CR*, $θ$, and $η$ [[43](#page-30-19)-46]:

$$
C_R = \frac{W}{At} \tag{1}
$$

$$
\theta = \frac{C_{\rm R} - C_{R(i)}}{C_R} \tag{2}
$$

$$
\eta_{\rm WL} = \theta \times 100\tag{3}
$$

whereas, W and A represent specimen WL (mg) and area $\rm (cm^2)$, *CR* and *CR*_(i) represent CS corrosion rate (mg cm⁻²) h[−]¹) without and with inhibitors, and *t* represents exposure duration (h), θ degree of surface coverage.

Electrochemical measurements

In order to record and retain data, electrochemical procedures were done utilizing Potentiostat/Galvanostat (Gamry PCI300 $/4$) that include DC 105 software for PDP and EIS 300 programs for EIS measurements, is linked to a computer for data recording and storage. Electrochemical methods using EIS and PDP in 1.0 M HCl without and with varying inhibitor dosages at ambient temperature were used to study CS corrosion. The standard electrochemical cell has three glass vessels with a platinum wire (auxiliary electrode), a saturated calomel electrode, SCE, (reference electrode), and CS (working electrode). The exposed surface area of the working electrode was 1cm^2 . It was weld from one side to Cu-wire which used for

electric connection. The samples were embedded in glass tube of just larger diameter than the samples then epoxy resin was used to stick the sample to glass tube. While, the chemical composition of the working electrode utilized in electrochemical methods was the same for the CS in weight loss. For 30 min, the CS electrode was submerged in the test solution to achieve a constant open circuit potential (OCP). Polarization studies were conducted in the potential range from − 250 mV to 250 mV vs. OCP above OCP at a scan rate of 0.5 mV s^{-1} scan rate. Corrosion current density (i_{corr}) and corrosion potential (E_{corr}) were assessed from the interplay of the correlation anodic and cathodic sections of Tafel plots in the presence and absence of altered inhibitor concentrations. EIS measurements were performed after immersing the electrode for 30 min, the EIS spectra were collected at the open circuit potential (OCP), the peak-to-peak voltage of the AC signal was 10 mV, and the resonant frequency evaluated was $0.01-10^5$ Hz. The important variables derived from the analysis of the Nyquist diagram are the resistance of charge transfer (R_{ct}) and the capacity of the double layer (C_{dl}) .

Surface analysis *SEM analysis*

CS surface morphology and elemental composition were studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) Model (Quanta 250 FEG, originated in FEI Company in the Netherlands) with and without organic inhibitors.

AFM analysis

The micrographs and surface roughness of CS with and without the optimum concentration of organic inhibitors were investigated on the nanosurf C300 software of version 3.5.0.31 by employing AFM in contact FlexAFM3 mode with a nonconductive silicon probe.

Quantum chemical calculations and Monte Carlo simulation studies:

Using the Material Studio D-MOL3 program, quantum chemical calculations were used to investigate the efectiveness of the trimethoxyphenylfurylidenepyrimidinone derivatives' ability to suppress corrosion. Density Functional Theory (DFT) was utilized for the calculations, with the basis set DNP (4.4) function GGA. The COSMO solvation model was also employed. By using DFT, the quantum chemical parameters E_{HOMO} , *E*LUMO, and ∆*E* were derived and examined. In order to identify the adsorption confgurations of four investigated inhibitors on the interface of Fe (110), MC simulation was employed. Whereas, all computations were employed using the force feld COMPASS (Condensed-Phase Optimized Molecular Potential for Atomistic Simulation Study).

Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterization of inhibitors

The innovative trimethoxyphenylfurylidene-pyrimidinones **5a-d** were prepared starting with a Suzuki

Fig. 1 Synthesis of the new trimethoxyphenylfurylidene-pyrimidinones **5a-d**

Fig. 2 WL-time curve for CS in 1.0 M HCl with as well as without diferent concentrations of inhibitors **a-d** at 303 K

coupling reaction of bromo-trimethoxybenzene **1** with formylfuran-2-yl boronic acid **2** with the addition of Pd(0), Na_2CO_3 (2M) and heating at 80°C in a mixture of toluene and MeOH to aford furylcarbaldehyde **3**, after that compound **3** was condensed with barbituric acid (**4a**), 2-thiobarbituric acid (**4b**), 1,3-diethyl-2-thiobarbituric acid (**4c**), and 1,3-dimethyl barbituric acid (**4d**) to yield furylidene constitutions **5a-d** in acceptable yields (69–78%) as shown in Fig. [1](#page-5-0).

Novel trimethoxyphenylfurylidene-pyrimidinones **5ad** were synthesized and elucidated by spectral data. IR spectrum of compounds **5a-d** in the range of 1651 to 1751 cm[−]¹ , indicated the presence of carbonyl groups, while, compounds **5a** and **5b** showed bands at 3388 cm[−]¹ (**5a**), 3424 cm[−]¹ (**5b**) for NH, whereas thione groups were showed at $1379~\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (5**b**), and $1387~\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ showed at 1379 cm⁻¹ (**5b**), and 1387 cm⁻¹ (**5c**). Whereas,
¹H-NMR of hybrid **5a** displayed three singlet signals of 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl moiety at *δ* 3.70 (*para*-methoxy group, 3H), *δ* 3.87 (*meta*-dimethoxy groups, 6H), *δ* 7.25 (2H) corresponding to aromatic hydrogens, in addition to two doublet signals at δ 7.48 (1H) and 8.58 (1H) with coupling constant *J*=3.5 *Hz* referring to 2,5-disubstituted furan moiety, one methylidene singlet signal at *δ* 8.13 (1H), plus two singlet signals of NH in pyrimidinone moiety exchangeable with D_2O at δ 11.23 and 11.32 ppm. Whereby, 13C-NMR spectrum of compound **5a** displayed 15 carbon-signals of its carbon network with characteristic carbons at *δ* 56.18 (carbons of *meta*-dimethoxy groups), *δ* 60.24 (carbon of *para*-methoxy group), and *δ* 160.45, 162.30, and 163.57 (carbons of carbonyl groups). Mass spectrometry of compound **5a** gave a molecular ion peak (m/e) at 372.14 (M^+ , 29.18%). The structure of skeleton 5c was confirmed via its ¹HNMR spectrum displaying two multiplet signals at *δ* 1.18–1.24 integrated

Inhibitor	Conc., M	303K		308K		313K		318K			323K					
	$\times 10^{-6}$	WL	CR	η	WL	CR	η	WL	CR	η	WL	CR	η	WL	CR	η
		Blank 15.336	0.1278	$\qquad \qquad -$	16.032	0.1326	$\overline{}$	17.316	0.1443	$\overline{}$	18.156	0.1513	$\qquad \qquad -$	20.148	0.1679	\equiv
HM-1223		1.733	0.0144	88.7	2.485	0.0207	84.5	3.532	0.0294	79.6	6.427	0.0536	64.6	7.294	0.0608	63.8
	3	1.641	0.0137	89.3	2.277	0.0190	85.8	3.255	0.0271	81.2	4.811	0.0401	73.5	6.286	0.0524	68.8
	5	1.518	0.0127	90.1	2.036	0.0170	87.3	2.805	0.0234	83.8	3.395	0.0283	81.3	5.682	0.0473	71.8
	7	1.304	0.0109	91.5	1.731	0.0144	89.2	2.320	0.0193	86.6	3.304	0.0275	81.8	4.674	0.0390	76.8
	9	1.242	0.0104	91.9	1.395	0.0116	91.3	1.766	0.0147	89.8	3.032	0.0253	83.3	4.070	0.0339	79.8
	11	0.843	0.0070	94.5	1.283	0.0107	92.0	1.489	0.0124	91.4	2.033	0.0169	88.8	3.083	0.0257	84.7
HM-1222	1	2.668	0.0222	82.6	3.222	0.0269	79.9	3.723	0.0310	78.5	6.627	0.0552	63.5	7.455	0.0621	63.0
	3	2.040	0.0170	86.7	2.677	0.0223	83.3	3.377	0.0281	80.5	4.975	0.0415	72.6	6.407	0.0534	68.2
	5	1.457	0.0121	90.5	2.196	0.0183	86.3	2.788	0.0232	83.9	3.450	0.0287	81.0	5.742	0.0479	71.5
	7	1.212	0.0101	92.1	1.780	0.0148	88.9	2.372	0.0198	86.3	3.341	0.0278	81.6	4.836	0.0403	76.0
	9	1.258	0.0105	91.8	1.475	0.0123	90.8	1.853	0.0154	89.3	3.195	0.0266	82.4	4.110	0.0343	79.6
	11	1.012	0.0084	93.4	1.347	0.0112	91.6	1.645	0.0137	90.5	2.124	0.0177	88.3	3.365	0.0280	83.3
HM-1224		5.828	0.0486	62.0	5.980	0.0498	62.7	6.372	0.0531	63.2	6.809	0.0567	62.5	8.099	0.0675	59.8
	3	4.723	0.0394	69.2	4.922	0.0410	69.3	5.576	0.0465	67.8	6.082	0.0507	66.5	7.938	0.0662	60.6
	5	2.929	0.0244	80.9	4.345	0.0362	72.9	3.775	0.0315	78.2	4.811	0.0401	73.5	7.314	0.0609	63.7
	7	2.515	0.0210	83.6	3.703	0.0309	76.9	3.515	0.0293	79.7	4.485	0.0374	75.3	6.044	0.0504	70.0
	9	2.500	0.0208	83.7	2.677	0.0223	83.3	2.909	0.0242	83.2	3.032	0.0253	83.3	5.420	0.0452	73.1
	11	1.978	0.0165	87.1	2.116	0.0176	86.8	2.805	0.0234	83.8	2.996	0.0250	83.5	4.493	0.0374	77.7
HM-1221		8.803	0.0734	42.6	9.587	0.0799	40.2	10.563	0.0880	39.0	11.329	0.0944	37.6	13.016	0.1085	35.4
	3	8.450	0.0704	44.9	8.914	0.0743	44.4	9.766	0.0814	43.6	10.422	0.0868	42.6	11.726	0.0977	41.8
	5	5.736	0.0478	62.6	8.000	0.0667	50.1	8.797	0.0733	49.2	9.296	0.0775	48.8	10.396	0.0866	48.4
	7	5.122	0.0427	66.6	6.926	0.0577	56.8	7.532	0.0628	56.5	8.679	0.0723	52.2	9.913	0.0826	50.8
	9	2.316	0.0193	84.9	5.852	0.0488	63.5	7.394	0.0616	57.3	8.443	0.0704	53.5	9.550	0.0796	52.6
	11	2.178	0.0181	85.8	4.104	0.0342	74.4	5.749	0.0479	66.8	6.191	0.0516	65.9	8.059	0.0672	60.0

Table 3 *WL* corrosion parameters of CS in 1.0 M HCl at various temperatures 303–323 K and with as well as lack various doses of inhibitors

for six hydrogens ($2CH_3$ of 1,3-diethyl groups) and four aliphatic hydrogens corresponding to two methylene groups of 1,3-diethyl groups at *δ* 4.40–4.47 ppm, in addition to two singlet signals related to *para*-methoxy group and *meta*-dimethoxy groups at *δ* 3.72, and *δ* 3.89 ppm, respectively, as well as singlet signal of two aromatic protons of 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl ring at *δ* 7.32, along with two doublet signals at δ 7.60 (1H) and 8.73 (1H) with coupling constant *J*=4 *Hz* referring to 2,5-disubstituted furan ring and one singlet signal at *δ* 8.27 (methylidene, 1H). Whereas, 13C-NMR of scafold **5c** showed 19 carbon-signals with the most characteristic carbons resonating at *δ* 12.16, 12.20, 42.77, 43.37 ppm related to four carbons of diethyl groups, *δ* 56.20 corresponding to two carbons of *meta*-dimethoxy groups, *δ* 60.25 referring to *para*-methoxy carbon, additionally, two carbonyl carbons at δ 160.47, 162.01 ppm, and one thione carbon at $δ$ 178.47 ppm. The mass spectrometry of compound **5c** exhibited a molecular ion peak (m/e) at 444.99 corresponding to $C_{22}H_{24}N_2O_6S$.

Corrosion measurements *WL method*

The WL method investigates the impact of dosage on the rate of corrosion of CS in 1.0 M HCl at diferent temperatures and well as lack diverse inhibitor doses (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)). The examination of the data in Table [3](#page-7-0) displays that the *CR* of CS declines meaningfully and the *η* increases signifcantly with increasing the concentration dosages of inhibitors from 1×10^{-6} M to 11×10^{-6} M, this is due to the formation of a protective coating on the CS surface [$47-49$]. The inclusion of hetero nucleus atoms (N, O and S) in these tested molecules may be responsible for the efficacy of the inhibition process; as these atoms enhance the adsorption on CS via free electrons, which is crucial for the inhibition process [\[50\]](#page-30-23).

Efect of temperature

During a three-hour immersion, the *WL* method was used to examine the impact of temperature on the percentage *η* at various temperatures ranging from

Fig. 3 Arrhenius plots for CS corrosion in the 1.0 M HCl without as well as after using various concentrations of **a**–**d**

303 to 323 K, both with and without diferent dos-ages of organic inhibitors. While, Table [3](#page-7-0) illustrates the decreasing values of the inhibition efficacy and increasing of the corrosion rate along with increasing temperature. This occurs as a result of the dissociation between inhibitor molecules and the metal surface. Apparently, the obtained results confrmed the inhibitor molecules blocking active sites by adsorption on the CS surface. Activation thermodynamic parameters were evaluated using the Arrhenius and transition state Eqs. [[51](#page-30-24)–[54](#page-30-25)]:

$$
\log k_{\text{corr}} = \left(\frac{-E_{\text{a}}^*}{2.303RT}\right) + \log A \tag{4}
$$

$$
k_{\text{corr}} = \left(\frac{RT}{Nh}\right) exp\left(\frac{\Delta S^*}{R}\right) exp\left(\frac{-\Delta H^*}{RT}\right) \tag{5}
$$

where k_{corr} represents the corrosion rate resulted from WL measurements, *R* denotes the gas constant, *T* represents the absolute temperature, $E_{\rm a}^*$ signifies the apparent activation energy and *A* indicates the Arrhenius frequency factor, *N* refers to Avogadro's number, ∆*H**

Table 4 Activation parameters gained from *WL* approach

Inhibitor Conc.		$-E_a^*$	ΔH [*]	$-\Delta S^*$		
	$X10^{-6}$ М	kJ mol $^{-1}$	kJ mol $^{-1}$	J mol ⁻¹ K^{-1}		
	1.0 M HCI	13.4 ± 0.2028	10.85 ± 0.1732	228.4 ± 0.2214		
HM-	1	33.6 ± 0.2211	31.05 ± 0.1232	215.6 ± 0.2001		
1223	3	38.4 ± 0.2403	35.85 ± 0.1121	211.9 ± 0.2021		
	5	38.2 ± 0.223	35.65 ± 0.1214	$195.4 + 0.2214$		
	7	39.3 ± 0.2021	36.75 ± 0.1120	191.3 ± 0.2021		
	9	41.1 ± 0.1975	38.55 ± 0.1201	180.2 ± 0.1745		
	11	44 ± 0.1754	$41.45 + 0.1214$	$157.8 + 0.2141$		
HM-	1	23.4 ± 0.1245	20.85 ± 0.1724	214.4 ± 0.1245		
1222	3	25.8 ± 0.1123	23.25 ± 0.1654	207.9 ± 0.2021		
	5	29.5 ± 0.1745	$26.95 + 0.1714$	$194.6 + 0.2144$		
	7	34.2 ± 0.2021	31.65 ± 0.1454	187.5 ± 0.1754		
	9	39 ± 0.2214	36.45 ± 0.1987	177.2 ± 0.2144		
	11	49.1 ± 0.1245	46.55 ± 0.1541	153.1 ± 0.2214		
HM-	1	26 ± 0.1745	23.45 ± 0.1232	197.9 ± 0.2214		
1224	3	27.2 ± 0.1245	24.65 ± 0.2145	195.7 ± 0.2211		
	5	29.9 ± 0.1232	27.35 ± 0.2104	176.4 ± 0.1745		
	7	34.1 ± 0.2541	31.55 ± 0.2001	172 ± 0.1245		
	9	38.3 ± 0.1457	35.75 ± 0.1245	167.4 ± 0.1452		
	11	39.8 ± 0.1245	37.25 ± 0.1932	146.4 ± 0.1745		
HM-	1	26.2 ± 0.1952	23.65 ± 0.1564	183.9 ± 0.1657		
1221	3	26.3 ± 0.1245	23.75 ± 0.1717	183.8 ± 0.2241		
	5	26.5 ± 0.1423	23.95 ± 0.1254	173.9 ± 0.1245		
	7	28.6 ± 0.1245	26.05 ± 0.1844	180 ± 0.1475		
	9	36.3 ± 0.1751	33.75 ± 0.1932	164.1 ± 0.1745		
	11	$38.4 + 0.1654$	$35.85 + 0.1547$	138.6 ± 0.1245		

and ∆*S** embodies the enthalpy and entropy of activation, whereby *h* represents Planck's constant. While, the relation between Arrhenius plots of (log k_{corr}) vs. (1000/*T*) for corrosion of metal in acidic medium of diferent doses of the inhibitors at diverse temperatures (30−50 °C) was represented in Fig. [3](#page-8-0), and the straight lines were gotten with the slope $(-E_a^*/2.303R)$ as well as intercept of log *A*. In the same context, the higher values of $E_{\rm a}^*$ in the presence of inhibitors is attributed to that the physisorption mechanism [\[54\]](#page-30-25) as shown in Table [4.](#page-9-0) Studying graphs of the transition state of (log k_{corr} /*T*) vs. (1000/*T*) for the inhibitors are presented in Fig. [4](#page-10-0). The straight lines with a slope= −Δ*H** /*R* were achieved using Δ*H** and Δ*S** values. Whereas, a positive value for Δ*H** suggests that the manufacturing of an activated complex is endothermic [[55,](#page-30-26) [56](#page-30-27)] as shown in Table [4,](#page-9-0) whereas a negative value for Δ*S** refers to the order is determined by the transformation of reactants into an activated complex [\[57](#page-30-28), [58](#page-30-29)]. It is evident that for the inhibited solution the ΔS^* values are less negative compared to the uninhibited, as the rational

probability attributed to desorption of $H₂O$ from the CS surface.

Study the adsorption isotherm

On the basis of mechanism of corrosion' , it is essential to understand how the inhibitors adsorb on the CS surface. The adsorption process could be explained as a substitution process between the organic molecules in the aqueous phase ($\text{Org}_{\text{(sol)}}$) and H_2O molecules previously adsorbed on the metal surface $(H_2O_{(ads)})$, the adsorption mechanism is accomplished according to the following equation.

It is essential to understand how the inhibitors adsorb on the CS surface. As the reaction between organic hybrids in the aqueous phase (Org_{aq}) and the H₂O molecules underwent a similar manner to this adsorption according to following equation [[59\]](#page-30-30):

$$
Org_{(sol)}+~x~H_2O_{(ads)}\rightarrow ~Org_{(ads)}+~x~H_2O_{(sol)}~~(6)
$$

where x refers to the quantity of H_2O molecules that the inhibitory molecules have displaced. Adsorption isotherms are helpful for investigating the interaction between the inhibitor molecules and the metal surface. Diferent isotherms, involving Langmuir, Frumkin, Temkin, Florry-Huggins and Freundlich were performed to determine the adsorption type that corresponded to the tested inhibitors. It is an evident that the correlation of the Langmuir isotherm is almost equal to unity (Fig. [5](#page-11-0)) shows that the Langmuir adsorption isotherm is obeyed when inhibitors are adsorbed on metal surfaces. Additional adsorption isotherms are discussed in Table [5](#page-12-0) and showed in Fig. [6](#page-13-0). The following Eq. was used to obtain the Langmuir adsorption isotherm [\[60](#page-30-31), [61\]](#page-30-32):

$$
\frac{C_{inh}}{\theta} = \frac{1}{K_{ads}} + C_{inh} \tag{7}
$$

whereas, the defined symbols in Eq. [7](#page-9-1) are adsorption equilibrium constant (K_{ads}) , and the corrosion inhibitor dose in the solution (C_{inh}) . This equation was used to calculate the value of standard free energy of adsorption (∆*G*°ads) associated with *K*ads for understanding of the inhibitors' adsorption process and their types [[59,](#page-30-30) [60](#page-30-31)]:

$$
Kads = \left(\frac{1}{55.5}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta G_{ads}^{\circ}}{RT}\right) \tag{8}
$$

whereas, *T* is the thermodynamic temperature (K), *R* is the universal gas constant, the molar concentration of water is 55.5. In addition, K_{ads} values are moderately high, indicating a strong inhibitors adsorption on CS [\[62\]](#page-30-33) as illustrated in Table 6 . Also, the highly negative value of

Fig. 4 Kinetic transition state plots for CS dissolution in 1.0 M HCl without as well as after utilizing various doses of inhibitors **a**–**d**

∆*G*°ads demonstrates the adsorption occurs spontaneously [[63\]](#page-31-0). According to the literature, if Δ*G*°_{ads} values at around $(-20 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$ or lower negative, the adsorption of an inhibitor is a physisorption. In contrast, if the values of ΔG°_{ads} are (−40 kJ mol^{−1}) or higher negative is defined as chemisorption [\[64](#page-31-1), [65\]](#page-31-2). From Table [6,](#page-14-0) the ∆*G*°ads values of the synthesized scaffolds are round -26 to -20 kJ mol⁻¹, indicating clearly that the mechanism is physisorption forming strong bonds. The Van't Hoff equation is used to calculate the heat of adsorption (ΔH°_{ads}) (Eq. [9](#page-10-1)) [[66\]](#page-31-3):

$$
\log K_{\text{ads}} = \frac{-\Delta H_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}}{2.303RT} + constant \tag{9}
$$

Figure [7](#page-15-0) revealed the plots of Log (K_{ads}) vs. 1000/*T* for inhibitors. Whereas, straight lines were attained with a slope = $-\Delta H^{\circ}_{ads}/2.303R$ in which enthalpy were computed from and intercept = $-\Delta S^{\circ}$ _{ads}/2.303*R* − log (55.5). Gibbs–Helmholtz equation is used to determine the standard adsorption entropy (ΔS°_{ads}) at diverse temperatures [[66\]](#page-31-3):

Fig. 5 The plots of Langmuir isotherm for CS in 1.0 M HCl with altered doses of inhibitors **a-d** at diverse temperatures

$$
\Delta S^{\circ}{}_{\text{ads}} = \frac{\Delta H^{\circ}_{\text{ads}} - \Delta G^{\circ}_{\text{ads}}}{T}
$$
 (10)

Table [6](#page-14-0) lists the values of K_{ads} , $\Delta G^{\circ}_{\text{ads}}$, enthalpy of adsorption (∆*H*°ads), and the standard entropy (Δ*S*°ads). Whereas, the ΔH° _{ads} values are negative proving that the adsorption process is exothermic reaction [[67\]](#page-31-4), and the negative values of ΔS° _{ads} result from substitution process can be assigned to rising of entropy at the metal/solution interface due to replacing of the water molecules by inhibitor molecules in the solution [\[68\]](#page-31-5).

Electrochemical technique *Measurements of OCP*

Figure [8](#page-16-0) displays the relation of the OCP vs. time curves for CS in 1.0 M HCl in the absence besides utilizing varied concentrations of investigated compounds, (a) **HM-1223** (b) **HM-1222** (c) **HM-1224** (d) **HM-1221**, at 298 K. As the deterioration of the CS with corrosive layers on its surface was developed due to the fact of dissolution of the oxide flm on the metal surface. From the OCP curves, it is noted that the potentials of inhibited solutions moved to more positive values contrasted to the uninhibited.

Table 5 Diferent adsorption isotherms of the tested inhibitors for the corrosion of CS in 1.0 M HCl at 303K

Adsorption	Inhibitors	Adsorption parameters				
isotherms		Regression Slope coefficient (R ²)		Intercept		
Langmuir	HM-1223	0.99921	1.05666	1.80026E-7		
	HM-1222	0.99975	1.05629	2.25186E-7		
	HM-1224	0.99766	1.09323	7.5455E-7		
	HM-1221	0.98697	1.43206	1.83385E-6		
Freundlich	HM-1223	0.74772	0.02257	0.07928		
	HM-1222	0.97525	0.05206	0.22904		
	HM-1224	0.9549	0.1481	0.67703		
	HM-1221	0.89234	0.18098	0.6904		
Temkin	HM-1223	0.74011	0.04734	1.16237		
	HM-1222	0.97434	0.10525	1.45618		
	HM-1224	0.95025	0.25099	2.1154		
	HM-1221	0.87266	0.21648	1.69195		
Florry-Huggins	HM-1223	0.63907	2.63443	8.07479		
	HM-1222	0.95093	2.25218	7.54432		
	HM-1224	0.91132	1.72566	6.40104		
	HM-1221	0.79081	3.34389	6.20012		
Frumkin	HM-1223	0.85691	21.46338	-23.84599		
	HM-1222	0.98763	13.57088	-16.52273		
	HM-1224	0.98067	6.19138	-9.57126		
	HM-1221	0.93442	5.79804	-8.38211		

PDP technique

Polarization measurements were performed for investigation the kinetics of cathodic and anodic reactions. As indicated in Fig. [9](#page-17-0), it is clear that the presence of inhibitors causes a marked decrease in the corrosion rate. The inhibitors have a significant effect on the rate of the hydrogen evolution and anodic dissolution reactions i.e. the investigated inhibitors act as mixed type inhibitors. the extrapolation of the polarization curves yields the electrochemical corrosion parameters like (i_{corr} , E_{corr} , β_{a} , $β_c$ and *η*) which are reported in Table [7](#page-18-0). Also, i_{corr} values are utilized to calculate η (Eq. [11](#page-12-1)) [\[69\]](#page-31-6):

$$
\eta_{\rm PDP} = \left(\frac{i_{\rm corr} - i_{\rm corr\ (inh)}}{i_{\rm corr}}\right) \times 100\tag{11}
$$

Where as, i_{corr} and $i_{corr (inh.)}$ refer to the corrosion current densities in acidic solution in the absence in addition to existence of organic molecules, respectively, while (β_a) , (β_c) and E_{corr} represent anodic, cathodic Tafel and the corrosion potential. Table [7](#page-18-0) demonstrates that the corrosion current density dropped when the inhibitors were added and $\eta_{\rm PDP}$ increases with increasing inhibitor concentrations. This was because the inhibitors are adsorbed onto the CS surface, reducing the rate of dissolution reaction by blocking active sites on the surface [\[70](#page-31-7)]. From the measurements, it was found that the corrosion potential gap is lower than 85 mV for all concentrations, and the anodic and cathodic partial currents are also decreased. The change in the E_{corr} value is (23 mV), these findings reveal the mixed character of the inhibitors under research [\[71](#page-31-8), [72](#page-31-9)] and they also suggest that the inhibitors utilized diminish the anodic dissolving rates of CS and the reduction of H⁺. Both cathodic (β_c) and anodic (*β*a) Tafel slopes do not change remarkably, which indicates that the mechanism of corrosion reaction does not change and the corrosion reaction is inhibited by blockage of active sites by the investigated inhibitors by simple adsorption mode [[73](#page-31-10)]. % η_{PDP} of these derivatives follows the sequence: **HM-1223**>**HM-1222**>**HM-1224**>**HM-1221**. The results acquired from the PDP measurements are closely matched with the outcomes of WL approach.

EIS technique

EIS is used to investigate the kinetics and the surface characteristics of the electrode processes. To better mimic the non-ideal capacitive behavior of the double layer, double layer capacitance (C_{d}) is replaced with a constant phase element (CPE) in the circuit, which is made up of solution resistance (R_s) in series with the parallel combination of charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) Fig. [10](#page-18-1). According to a previous study [\[74](#page-31-11)], the impedance of CPE is as follows:

$$
Z_{\rm CPE} = \xi / \left(i\omega \right)^{\rm n} \tag{12}
$$

where *i* denotes the complex number, *ω* the angular frequency, *ξ* the proportionality factor and n the exponent of the CPE. Nyquist and Bode graphs for the corrosive dissolution of CS in HCl solution with and without varying doses of inhibitors as depicted in Figs. [11](#page-19-0), [12,](#page-20-0) respectively. The Nyquist graphs demonstrated that with an increase in inhibitor dose, the semicircular capacitance diameter is expanded due to the charge transfer phenomena in the solution [\[75](#page-31-12)]. EIS variables including charge transfer resistance $(R_{\rm ct})$, capacitance of the double layer $(C_{\rm dl})$ and η (Table [8](#page-21-0)) showing that the $C_{\rm dl}$ values decrease with increasing inhibitor dose, this is due to the adsorption of inhibitors on CS surface leading to formation of a film from the acidic solution [\[76](#page-31-13)]. It is clear that $R_{\rm ct}$ values rise as the concentration of the inhibiters increase, this due to the increase in the thickness of the double layer as a result of an expansion of the double layer's thickness [\[77\]](#page-31-14) led to a decrease in dielectric constant [[78\]](#page-31-15) and this indicates that η_{EIS} % increase. The value of C_{dl} can be determined from Eq. [13](#page-14-1) [[79](#page-31-16)]:

Fig. 6 Various adsorption isotherms of the tested inhibitors for the corrosion of CS in 1.0 M HCl at 303 K

Inhibitors	Temp Κ	K_{ads} M ⁻¹	$-\Delta G^{\circ}_{\text{ads}}$ kJ mole ⁻¹	$-AH^{\circ}$ _{ads} kJ mole ⁻¹	$-\Delta S^{\circ}$ _{ads} J mole ⁻¹ k ⁻¹
HM-1223	303	$5.55E + 02$	26.1 ± 0.1854	67.3 ± 0.2142	136.149 ± 0.1214
	308	$4.10E + 02$	25.6 ± 0.1565		135.061 ± 0.1254
	313	$2.41E + 02$	24.7 ± 0.1254		135.99 ± 0.1412
	318	$1.48E + 02$	23.8 ± 0.2124		136.664 ± 0.1214
	323	$1.17E + 02$	23.5 ± 0.2144		135.342 ± 0.1754
HM-1222	303	$4.44E + 02$	25.5 ± 0.1524	54.9 ± 0.1624	97.126 ± 0.1214
	308	$3.04E + 02$	24.9 ± 0.1247		97.3341 ± 0.1234
	313	$2.48E + 02$	24.8 ± 0.1124		96.1793 ± 0.1425
	318	$1.45E + 02$	23.7 ± 0.1124		97.884 ± 0.1652
	323	$1.19E + 02$	23.6 ± 0.1414		96.8543 ± 0.1722
HM-1224	303	$1.33E + 02$	22.4 ± 0.1474	30.1 ± 0.1244	25.4227 ± 0.1241
	308	$1.08E + 02$	22.2 ± 0.1452		25.5391 ± 0.1785
	313	$8.79E + 01$	22.1 ± 0.1147		25.688 ± 0.1652
	318	$7.54E + 01$	22.0 ± 0.1457		25.4493 ± 0.1254
	323	$6.31E + 01$	21.9 ± 0.1425		25.4628 ± 0.1325
HM-1221	303	$7.78E + 01$	21.1 ± 0.1752	27.3 ± 0.1412	20.3729±0.1574
	308	$5.99E + 01$	20.8 ± 0.1365		21.0864 ± 0.1475
	313	$4.86E + 01$	20.5 ± 0.1245		21.4108 ± 0.1547
	318	$4.17E + 01$	20.4 ± 0.1514		21.3145 ± 0.1874
	323	$3.19E + 01$	± 0.1321		22.2151 ± 0.1958

Table 6 The results of adsorption thermodynamic of organic scaffolds on CS in 1.0 M HCl at 303-323 K

$$
C_{\rm dl} = Y_0 (\omega_{\rm max})^{n-1} = Y_0 (2\pi f_{\rm Zim-max})^{n-1}
$$
 (13)

where ωmax related to the frequency at which the imaginary impedance in the Nyquist plot is maximum; Y_o is CPE and n is CPE exponent. Based on n, CPE can represent (n=0, Y_o=R), capacitor (n=1, Y_o=C), inductance (n=− 1, Y_o=L) or Warburg impedance $(n=0, Y_0=W)$.

Equation 14 is utilized to calculate the inhibition efficiency based on the polarization resistance $[80, 81]$ $[80, 81]$ $[80, 81]$ $[80, 81]$:

$$
\eta_{EIS} = \frac{R_{ct(inh)} - R_{ct}}{R_{ct(inh)}} \times 100
$$
\n(14)

where R_{ct} and $R_{\text{ct}(\text{inh})}$ refer to the charge transfer resistance without and with the addition of inhibitors, respectively. The results from EIS are compatible with those acquired from the PDP analysis. The standard evaluation criteria for determining which of these compounds agreed the best with the data used: low chi-square errors (χ^2 about 10^{-4}) and low 5% for allowable elemental errors in fitting mode. Therefore, in this case, the circuit in use is acceptable. The η_{EIS} % of these compounds follows the following order : **HM-1223**>**HM-1222**>**HM-1224**>**HM-1221**.

Surface analysis study *Scanning electron microscope (SEM) analysis*

The morphology of the CS surface was evaluated using SEM to determine whether the inhibition was caused by the growth of an organic coating. The SEM images for CS surface immersed HCl and with inhibited solutions are illustrated in Fig. $13a-f$ $13a-f$. The CS sample's sur-face was smoother before immersion (Fig. [13](#page-22-0)a), but due to the acidic solution's powerful attack **(**Fig. [13b](#page-22-0)), the surface became very coarse with signifcant corrosion and cracks distributed throughout after immersion in HCl **(**Fig. [13b](#page-22-0)). But in the presence of organic inhibitors, which have a softer and smoother surface (Fig. $13c$, f), the damage has been reduced. The development of a protective organic suppressive coating on the metal's surface is indicated by this smoother surface morphology [[82](#page-31-19), [83](#page-31-20)].

EDX studies

Figure [14](#page-23-0) depicts the EDX spectra that demonstrate the specifc peaks of certain elements constituting the CS afterward 24 h in the unprotected and protected 1.0 M HCl. EDX spectra in the existence of the maximum dose of the chemicals display extra lines of carbon, nitrogen,

Fig. 7 Vant's Hoff plots (Log K_{ads} vs. 1000/7) for the adsorption of organic molecules a–d at 303 K on CS surface in 1.0 M HCl

sulfur and oxygen owing to the layer of the adsorbed chemicals on CS. From Table [9](#page-24-0)**,** it was found that [\[84](#page-31-21)]:

1-Intensities of C, O, S and N signal are enhanced and this due to N, C, S and O atoms present in the chemical composition of the inhibitors, indicating adsorption of the chemicals molecules on the surface of CS.

2-Fe peaks are suppressed in the existence of the inhibitors which is because of overlying inhibitor flm [[85\]](#page-31-22).

AFM analysis

AFM is an efective method for examining topography of the surface which confrms the adsorption of inhibitors on the surface of the corroding metal. Figure [15](#page-25-0)a–f displays three-dimensional AFM images of the CS surface before and after the immersion of inhibitors. The roughness of the CS surface related to uninhibited solution in HCl only is 879.3 mm as average (Fig. [15](#page-25-0)b), and the surface with polishing roughness is 22.3 mm (Fig. [15a](#page-26-0)). Nevertheless, in the existence of inhibited scafolds (Fig. [15](#page-25-0)c–f) at the highest chosen dose (11×10^{-6} M), the

Fig. 8 Changes in E_{OCP} vs. time for CS in the 1.0 M HCl either alone or with various dosages of organic hybrids **a–d** at 298 K

average roughness declines to 101.3 mm for (**HM-1223**), 133.2 mm for (**HM-1222**), 187.1 mm for (**HM-1224**) and 196.7 mm for (HM-1221). These evidences show that the CS surface is smoother in the presence of inhibitors compared the absence of inhibitors due to the establishing a defensive coating adsorbed from the molecules of inhibitors that protects CS surface [\[86\]](#page-31-23).

FTIR technique

FT-IR is a crucial analytical tool to understanding efficacious groups and characterizing bonding with metal**.** Certain peaks of the IR spectra are corresponding to

the function groups of the substances under investigation. The characteristic peaks of active function groups for free organic compounds before (pure inhibitors) and the other peaks in the presence of these compounds after immersing CS for 24 h in 1.0 M HCl+ 11×10^{-6} M at 298 K were attained and compared to each other (Fig. 16). The data of FT-IR showed that: the peaks of the function groups of the adsorbed chemicals show a tightly shifting, this confrmed the complex formation between Fe metal and inhibitors [\[68](#page-31-5)] and consequently, these substances have the potential to operate as corrosion inhibitors [\[87,](#page-31-24) [88](#page-31-25)]**.**

Fig. 9 PDP curves for the CS corrosion in 1.0 M HCl at 298 K without and after adding diverse concentrations of inhibitors **a**–**d**

Quantum chemical calculations

To anticipate the confguration and electron dispersion of trimethoxyphenylfurylidene-pyrimidinone derivatives, quantum chemical computations are employed. The evaluation of molecular reactivity is commonly performed using density functional theory (DFT). Figure [17](#page-27-0) shows the optimized structures of the inhibitors studied. Whereby, E_{HOMO} and E_{LUMO} (FMOs) are crucial descriptors in chemistry for studying the chemical reactivity in various reactions, the donor–acceptor interaction between adsorbed molecules and FMOs of

adsorbent atoms can give valuable insights in exploring most chemical interactions, particularly those involving compound adsorption such as corrosion inhibition properties. An increase in E_{HOMO} values often indicates a molecule's greater ability to donate electrons to an acceptor molecule with vacant molecular orbitals. Conversely, a lower E_{LUMO} value often associates with a higher capacity accept electrons by the reacting species. As a result, a lower E_{LUMO} is anticipated that a molecule has a greater tendency to gain electrons in specifc interactions. In this sense, E_{HOMO} can measure ionization potential and

Inhibitor	Conc., M	$-E_{corr}$ (mVvs.SCE),	$\beta_{\rm a}$, mV dec ⁻¹	$-\beta_c$, mV dec ⁻¹	i_{corr} µA m ⁻²	θ	η_{PDP}
HM-1223	1.0 mol L^{-1} HCl	278 ± 0.2011	88.5	176	990 ± 0.1754		
	5×10^{-6}	289 ± 0.2144	80	227	137 ± 0.1711	0.862	86.2
	7×10^{-6}	291 ± 0.2214	72	179	128 ± 0.1952	0.871	87.1
	9×10^{-6}	289 ± 0.1952	54	251	95 ± 0.2145	0.904	90.4
	11×10^{-6}	292 ± 0.1722	54	260	83 ± 0.2111	0.916	91.6
HM-1222	5×10^{-6}	275 ± 0.1625	66	225	161 ± 0.2145	0.837	83.7
	7×10^{-6}	280 ± 0.1754	58	267	144 ± 0.1234	0.855	85.5
	9×10^{-6}	274 ± 0.1952	51	153	110 ± 0.2156	0.889	88.9
	11×10^{-6}	275 ± 0.1854	64	132	96 ± 0.2011	0.903	90.3
HM-1224	5×10^{-6}	273 ± 0.1625	66	139	188 ± 0.2014	0.810	81.0
	7×10^{-6}	277 ± 0.1524	65	202	157 ± 0.1245	0.841	84.1
	9×10^{-6}	277 ± 0.1754	66	135	125 ± 0.1857	0.874	87.4
	11×10^{-6}	275 ± 0.1874	63	142	101 ± 0.1574	0.898	89.8
HM-1221	5×10^{-6}	272 ± 0.1957	70	146	195 ± 0.1541	0.803	80.3
	7×10^{-6}	287 ± 0.1875	66	152	177 ± 0.1475	0.821	82.1
	9×10^{-6}	270 ± 0.1789	71	136	138 ± 0.1524	0.861	86.1
	11×10^{-6}	269 ± 0.1321	74	129	121 ± 0.1325	0.878	87.8

Table 7 PDP corrosion parameters of CS utilizing 1.0 M HCl without and with besides utilizing diverse doses of the organic constitutions **a-d** at 298 K

a species' tendency to undergo electrophilic attack, while *E*LUMO is indicative of its susceptibility to nucleophilic attack. Therefore, an increase in E_{HOMO} and decrease in *E*LUMO are expected to be typical of high corrosion inhibition properties of compounds by promoting their adsorption on metallic surfaces through chemisorbed film formation. The difference between E_{LUMO} and E_{HOMO} (ΔE) is a crucial stability index that is associated with corrosion inhibition capabilities in corrosive and tribological systems [[89](#page-31-26), [90\]](#page-31-27).

A small energy gap between HOMO and LUMO orbitals suggests a soft nature, while a large gap indicates a hard nature. Whereby, *η* values is enhanced this is commitment to increase the value of E_{HOMO} and reduction in both E_{LUMO} and ΔE . Table [10](#page-27-1) lists the results of quantum calculations, such as both E_{HOMO} , ELUMO and energy gap (Δ*E*), while other quantum chemical parameters [[90,](#page-31-27) [91](#page-31-28)]. Based on the values on

Fig. 10 A simple circuit used to suit the EIS results

Table [10,](#page-27-1) the trend in the quantum chemical parameters shows that the increasing order of inhibition follows: **HM-1223**>**HM-1222**>**HM-1224**>**HM-1221**.

The effect of corrosion inhibition effects of the four inhibitors were found to be consistent with the decreasing order of energy gap and E. In contrast to **HM-1222** molecule, which has two N–H hydrophilic groups, **HM-1223** compound, which has a furan ring and two ethyl groups, has stronger electron donating capacity and lipophilic qualities. Additionally, introducing (S) atom enhances capacity of molecules to give electrons by sharing their lone pair. While **HM-1224** and **HM-1221** has lower electron donating ability than **HM-1223** and **HM-1222** due to the weaker impact of their (O) atom in to donate electrons compared to S atom.

Monte carlo simulation studies

MC simulation was used to visualize the interaction between the four inhibitor molecules with the CS surface and the adsorption mechanism. Figure [18](#page-28-0) shows the most possible adsorption confgurations of pyrimidinone molecules on the CS. This could be achieved via the adsorption locator module, which exhibits smooth disposition and provides an improvement in adsorption with the greatest surface coverage. The data that were ascertained via MC simulations are listed in Table [11](#page-28-1). The unrelaxed and relaxed adsorption energies of four

Fig. 11 Nyquist plot for CS in 1.0 M HCl and with several doses of the inhibitors **a-d** at 298 K

inhibitors were summarized in Table [11](#page-28-1) before and after the geometry optimization procedure. It is found that **HM-1223** has a higher negative value of adsorption energy equal to (− 3.288385e+003 kcal mol⁻¹), followed by **HM-1222** (− 3.205497e+003 kcal mol⁻¹), then **HM-1224** (− 3.199657e+003), while **HM-1221** has the lowest value equals to (-3.130904e + 003 kcal $\mathrm{mol^{-1}}$). Furthermore, **HM-1223** has implying robust adsorption on the CS surface and form a fixed adsorbed film. The $dE_{ads}/$

dNi values illustrate the metal-adsorbate confguration's energy if one of the adsorbates is eliminated. **HM-1223** inhibitor has superior adsorption than other inhibitors, as evidenced by the fact that its dE_{ads}/dNi value is higher (-259.44618281 kcal mol[−]¹) than **HM-1222**, **HM-1224**, and $HM-1221$. Furthermore, the dE_{ads}/dNi value for water is low when compared to the studied inhibitors values, indicating that the studied inhibitors were adsorbed more strongly than water molecules on the CS surface,

Fig. 12 Bode plot for corrosion of CS in 1.0 M HCl and in the existence of various doses of organic constitutions **a-d** at 298 K

supporting the replacement of water molecules with pyrimidinone inhibitors. Furthermore, it can be summarized that these MC results correspond well with the quantum chemical calculations as well as the experimental data [\[10](#page-29-15), [51\]](#page-30-24).

Mechanism of inhibition

The adsorption process is influenced by the inhibitors' chemical composition, surface charge, and internal charge distribution. Generally, chemisorption and physisorption-two diferent ways whereby inhibitor compounds can adsorb on the surface of CS are considered. Organic molecules can be adsorbed through physisorption. The electronegative donor atoms N , O , S , and π-electrons of the aromatic ring in the compounds under investigation efectively facilitate the adsorption of inhibitors onto the surface of CS. Consequently, by hydration chloride ions adsorbed on the metal surface which led to allocate the negative charges, on the other hands, acidic medium acts as positively hydrogen donating atoms. Besides, electrostatic interaction (physisorption) was occurred between positively protonated organic

Fig. 13 SEM images for CS smooth surface (**a**), then after 24 h immersion in 1.0 M HCl (**b**) and in the existence of 11× 10−6 M of inhibitors (**c-f**)

molecules and negatively chloride anions adsorbed on the surface of CS $[92]$. This surface adsorption results in a protective coating that repels water from the metal's surface and shields it from corrosion. The development of organic derivatives' adsorption was confrmed by AFM and SEM results. The inhibitors tested in previous experiments can be ranked in terms of inhibition efficiency as

HM-1223>**HM-1222**>**HM-1224**>**HM-1221**. Due to the two ethyl groups in **HM-1223**, which enhance the molecular size of the compound and act as atom donors, it is thought that **HM-1223** is more complex than **HM-1222**. Due to its higher molecular size, **HM-1224** is superior to **HM-1221** (Fig. [19](#page-28-2)).

Fig. 14 EDX spectra of CS (**a**) after 24 h immersion in 1.0 M HCl (**b**) and in the existence of 11× 10−6 M of inhibitors (**b**–**e**)

Fig. 15 a Represent smoother image CS surface taken by AFM, whereas, image **b** indicates what happened after immersion in HCl only, while, images from (**c** to **f**) refer to the presence of 11× 10−6 M of inhibitors

Fig. 16 FT-IR spectra of **a HM-1223**, **b HM-1222**, **c HM-1224**, and **d HM-1221**

Fig. 17 HOMO and LUMO electron density maps for the studied inhibitors

Fig. 18 The most suitable adsorption confguration of four inhibitors on Fe (1 1 0) using adsorption locator module

Table 11 The calculated data utilizing MC simulations for the adsorption inhibitors on Fe (1 1 0)

Structures	Adsorption energy/ Kcal mol $^{-1}$	Rigid adsorption energy/kcal mol $^{-1}$	Deformation energy/kcal mol $^{-1}$	dEads/dNi: Inhibitor mol $^{-1}$	$dEads/dNi$: Water mol $^{-1}$
Fe (1 1 0) 1223 Water	$-3.288385e + 003$	$-3.452641e + 003$	164.25625140	-259.44618281	-7.04265953
Fe (1 1 0) 1222 Water	$-3.205497e + 003$	$-3.368687e + 003$	163.19066031	-248.85991961	-7.92810123
Fe (1 1 0) 1224 Water	$-3.199657e + 003$	$-3.362333e + 003$	162.67538061	-236.86523223	-7.92725663
Fe (1 1 0) 1221 Water	$-3.130904e + 003$	$-3.293055e + 003$	162.15187138	-148.83457928	-8.85918095

Conclusion

The newly synthesized derivatives act as efficient inhibitors for CS in 1.0 M HCl between 303 and 323 K. The n improved with an increase in derivative concentrations and decreased with an increase in temperature by 5.0% with increasing temperature. The polarization curves indicate that the investigated inhibitors acted as mixed-type inhibitors, impacting both anodic and cathodic processes without changing the corrosion mechanism. These molecules are adsorbed spontaneously on CS surface based on impedance tests and according to Langmuir adsorption isotherm. The adsorption of these derivatives is of mixed type (Physical and Chemical) but mainly physical. The presented theoretical result is in full agreement with the experimental ones. *η* % of these investigated compounds are in the following order: **HM-1223**>**HM-1222**>**HM-1224**>**HM-1221**. A corrosion mechanism based on mixed type of these derivatives onto CS surface is proposed.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13065-024-01280-6) [org/10.1186/s13065-024-01280-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13065-024-01280-6).

Supplementary material 1

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to Mansoura University for all support and the facilities provided.

Author contributions

H.A.A: Methodology of organic synthesis, Experiments of corrosion inhibition, Formal analysis, writing–original draft and Review. A.A.E: Experiments of corrosion inhibition and Software. A.S.A: Writing-original draft. M.A.I: Supervision, Methodology of organic synthesis, Formal analysis, writing–original draft and Review. A.S.F: Supervision of experiments of corrosion inhibition part, writ‑ ing–original draft and Review Physical part. E.A.G: Supervision, Formal analysis, writing-original draft and review. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Funding

Open access funding provided by The Science, Technology & Innovation Funding Authority (STDF) in cooperation with The Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB).

Availability of data and materials

All data and analysis during this study are available in this article and its supplementary fle.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate. Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt. ²Delta for Fertilizers and Chemical Industries, Talkha, Egypt.
³Operations Department, Quality Control Laboratory, Jotun, Egypt. ³ Operations Department, Quality Control Laboratory, Jotun, Egypt.

Received: 9 March 2024 Accepted: 27 August 2024

References

- Rajput A, Paik JK. Effects of naturally-progressed corrosion on the chemical and mechanical properties of structural steels. Structures. 2021;29:2120–38.
- 2. Vecchi F, Franceschini L, Tondolo F, Belletti B, Montero JS, Minetola P. Corrosion morphology of prestressing steel strands in naturally corroded PC beams. Constr Build Mater. 2021;296: 123720.
- 3. Ettahiri W, Adardour M, Ech-chihbi E, Azam M, Salim R, Dalbouha S, Min K, Rais Z, Baouid A, Taleb M. 1,2,3-triazolyl-linked benzimidazolone derivatives as new eco-friendly corrosion inhibitors for mild steel in 1 M HCl solution: experimental and computational studies. Colloids Surf. 2024;681: 132727.
- 4. Aslam R, Mobin M, Zehra S, Aslam J. A comprehensive review of corrosion inhibitors employed to mitigate stainless steel corrosion in diferent environments. J Mol Liq. 2022;364: 119992.
- 5. Wu T, Zhang K. Corrosion and protection of magnesium alloys: recent advances and future perspectives. Coatings. 2023;13(9):1533.
- 6. Obot IB, Umoren SA, Ankah NK. Pyrazine derivatives as green oil field corrosion inhibitors for steel. J Mol Liq. 2019;277:749–61.
- 7. Abdel-Karim AM, El-Shamy AM. A review on green corrosion inhibitors for protection of archeological metal artifacts. J Bio-and Tribo-Corros. 2022;8:35.
- 8. Finšgar M, Jackson J. Application of corrosion inhibitors for steels in acidic media for the oil and gas industry: a review. Corros Sci. 2014;86:17–41.
- 9. El Mendili Y, Abdelouas A, Bardeau J-F. Insight into the mechanism of carbon steel corrosion under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2013;15:9197–204.
- 10. Khamaysa OMA, Selatnia I, Lgaz H, Sid A, Lee H-S, Zeghache H, Benahmed M, Ali IH, Mosset P. Hydrazone-based green corrosion inhibitors for API grade carbon steel in HCl: Insights from electrochemical, XPS, and computational studies. Colloids Surf A. 2021;626:127047.
- 11. Ali HA, Shaban MM, Abousalem AS, Ghaith EA, Fouda AS, Ismail MA. Novel biphenylidene-thiopyrimidine derivatives as corrosion inhibitors for carbon-steel in oilfield produced water. Sci Rep. 2023;13:16388.
- 12. He H, Shi J, Yu S, Yang J, Xu K, He C, Li X. Exploring green and efficient zero-dimensional carbon-based inhibitors for carbon steel: from performance to mechanism. Constr Build Mater. 2024;411: 134334.
- 13. Alrefaee SH, Rhee KY, Verma C, Quraishi MA, Ebenso EE. Challenges and advantages of using plant extract as inhibitors in modern corrosion inhibition systems: recent advancements. J Mol Liq. 2021;321: 114666.
- 14. Chandel SS, Singh PK, Katiyar PK, Randhawa NS. A review on environmental concerns and technological innovations for the valorization of steel industry slag. Min Metall Explor. 2023;40:2059–86.
- 15. Toniolo S, Marson A, Fedele A. Combining organizational and product life cycle perspective to explore the environmental benefts of steel slag recovery practices. Sci Total Environ. 2023;867: 161440.
- 16. Ek K, Mathern A, Rempling R, Brinkhoff P, Karlsson M, Norin M. Life cycle sustainability performance assessment method for comparison of civil engineering works design concepts: case study of a bridge. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(21):7909.
- 17. Mohammed A-RI, Solomon MM, Haruna K, Saleh USA. Evaluation of the corrosion inhibition efficacy of cola acuminata extract for low carbon steel in simulated acid pickling environment. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2020;27:34270–88.
- 18. Kamel MM, Fouda AAS, Rashwan SM, Abdelkader O. Paprika extract: a green inhibitor for mitigating carbon steel disintegration in 1 M HCl pickling solution. Green Chem Lett Rev. 2021;14(4):600–11.
- 19. Gueccia R, Winter D, Randazzo S, Cipollina A, Koschikowski J, Micale GDM. An integrated approach for the HCl and metals recovery from waste pickling solutions: pilot plant and design operations. Chem Eng Res Des. 2021;168:383–96.
- 20. Chen L, Lu D, Zhang Y. Organic compounds as corrosion inhibitors for carbon steel in HCl solution: a comprehensive review. Materials. 2022;15(6):2023.
- 21. Punitha N, Sundaram RG, Vijayalakshmi K, Rengasamy R, Elangovan J. Interactions and corrosion mitigation prospective of pyrazole derivative on mild steel in HCl environment. J Indian Chem Soc. 2022;99(9): 100667.
- 22. Gadow HS, Fakeeh M. Green inhibitor of carbon steel corrosion in 1 M hydrochloric acid: *Eruca sativa* seed extract (experimental and theoretical studies). RSC Adv. 2022;12:8953–86.
- 23. Alamry KA, Khan A, Aslam J, Hussein MA, Aslam R. Corrosion inhibition of mild steel in hydrochloric acid solution by the expired Ampicillin drug. Sci Rep. 2023;13:6724.
- 24. Toghan A, Gadow HS, Fawzy A, Alhussain H, Salah H. Adsorption mechanism, kinetics, thermodynamics, and anticorrosion performance of a new thiophene derivative for C-steel in a 1.0 M HCl: experimental and computational approaches. Metals. 2023;13(9):1565.
- 25. Wang Q, Wang R, Zhang Q, Zhao C, Zhou X, Zheng H, Zhang R, Sun Y, Yan Z. Application of biomass corrosion inhibitors in metal corrosion control: a review. Molecules. 2023;28(6):2832.
- 26. Assad H, Kumar A. Understanding functional group effect on corrosion inhibition efficiency of selected organic compounds. J Mol Liq. 2021;344: 117755.
- 27. Chauhan DS, Verma C, Quraishi MA. Molecular structural aspects of organic corrosion inhibitors: experimental and computational insights. J Mol Struct. 2021;1227: 129374.
- 28. Boughoues Y, Benamira M, Messaadia L, Ribouh N. Adsorption and corrosion inhibition performance of some environmental friendly organic inhibitors for mild steel in HCl solution via experimental and theoretical study. Colloids Surf. 2020;593: 124610.
- 29. Punitha N, Sundaram RG, Rengasamy R, Elangovan J. Corrosion mitigation performance of pyrazole derivative on mild steel in acidic media: electrochemical and theoretical approach. Chem Data Collect. 2022;41: 100936.
- 30. Goni LKMO, Mazumder MAJ, Quraishi MA, Rahman MM. Bioinspired heterocyclic compounds as corrosion inhibitors: a comprehensive review. Chem Asian J. 2021;16(11):1324–64.
- 31. Akpan ED, Singh AK, Lgaz H, Quadri TW, Shukla SK, Mangla B, Dwivedi A, Dagdag O. Coordination compounds as corrosion inhibitors of metals: a review. Coord Chem Rev. 2024;499:215503.
- 32. Lin B, Zhou X, Duan T, Zhao C, Zhu J, Xu Y. Experimental and theoretical study on corrosion inhibition and adsorption performance of *Ipomoea batatas* L leaf extract for mild steel. Arabian J Chem. 2024;17:105410.
- 33. Miralrio A, Vázquez EA. Plant extracts as green corrosion inhibitors for diferent metal surfaces and corrosive media: a review. Processes. 2020;8(8):942.
- 34. Abdel-karim AM, Hussien HM, Shahen S, El-Shamy OAA, Ghayad IM, Saleh NM, Abd El-Sattar NEA. Green synthesis of novel bis structure of (Carbamothioyl) oxalamide derivatives as corrosion inhibitors for copper in 35% NaCl; experimental and theoretical investigation. J Mol Struct. 2024;1295:136597.
- 35. Thakur A, Kumar A. Sustainable inhibitors for corrosion mitigation in aggressive corrosive media: a comprehensive study. J Bio-and Tribo-Corrosion. 2021;7:67.
- 36. Hamidi H, Shojaei F, Pourfath M, Vaez-Zadeh M. Adsorption behavior of some green corrosion inhibitors on Fe (110) surface: the critical role of d-π interactions in binding strength. Appl Surf Sci. 2024;655: 159425.
- 37. Shoair AGF, Motawea MM, Almalki ASA, Shanab MMAH, El-Basiony A, Nasef HA. Expired terazosin as environmentally safe corrosion inhibitor for 1018 carbon steel in 1 M HCl solution: experimental and computational studies. Int J Electrochem Sci. 2024;19(1): 100397.
- 38. Abdallah YM, Shalabi K, Bayoumy NM. Eco-friendly synthesis, biological activity and evaluation of some new pyridopyrimidinone derivatives as corrosion inhibitors for API 5L X52 carbon steel in 5% sulfamic acid medium. J Mol Struct. 2018;1171:658–71.
- 39. Abd El-Khalek KM, Shalabi K, Ismail MA, Fouda AE-AS. 5-Arylidene-1,3-dialkylbarbituric acid derivatives as efficient corrosion inhibitors for carbon steel in molar hydrochloric acid solution. RSC Adv. 2022;12:10443–59.
- 40. Abdel El Khalek KM, Shalabi K, Ismail MA, Fouda AE-AS. Adsorption and inhibitive impact of 5-[4-(dimethylamino)benzylidene]-1,3-dimethylbarbituric acid on carbon steel corrosion in molar hydrochloric acid solution. Zast Mater. 2022;63(3):238–50.
- 41. Mammeri S, Chafai N, Harkat H, Kerkour R, Chafaa S. Protection of steel against corrosion in acid medium using dihydropyrimidinone
- 42. Shridhar DR, Sastry CVR, Jogibhukta M, Moorty SR, Vaidya NK, Reddy PG. New 5-substituted-2-furaldehydes. Org Prep Proced Int. 1976;8(6):302–5.
- 43. El-Nagar RA, Khalil NA, Atef Y, Nessim MI, Ghanem A. Evaluation of ionic liquids based imidazolium salts as an environmentally friendly corrosion inhibitors for carbon steel in HCl solutions. Sci Rep. 2024;14:1889.
- 44. Aoun SB. On the corrosion inhibition of carbon steel in 1 M HCl with a pyridinium-ionic liquid: chemical, thermodynamic, kinetic and electrochemical studies. RSC Adv. 2017;7:36688–96.
- 45. Fouda AE-AS, Etaiw S-EH, Ismail MA, Abd El-Aziz DM, Eladl MM. Novel naphthybithiophene derivatives as corrosion inhibitors for carbon steel in 1 M HCl: electrochemical, surface characterization and computational approaches. J Mol Liq. 2022;367:120394.
- 46. Asfour H, Elewady GY, Zaki EG, Fouda AE-AS. Synthesis and characterization of new polymeric ionic liquids as corrosion inhibitors for carbon steel in a corrosive medium experimental spectral and theoretical studies. ACS Omega. 2023;8(44):41077–99.
- 47. Fouda AS, El-Ewady G, Ali AH. Modazar as promising corrosion inhibitor of carbon steel in hydrochloric acid solution. Green Chem Lett Rev. 2017;10(2):88–100.
- 48. Mobin M, Zehra S, Parveen M. L-Cysteine as corrosion inhibitor for mild steel in 1 M HCl and synergistic effect of anionic, cationic and nonionic surfactants. J Mol Liq. 2016;216:598–607.
- 49. Almahdy MS, Molouk AF, El-Hossiany A, Fouda AE-AS. Electrochemical studies of *erica arborea* extract as a green corrosion inhibitor for C-steel in sulfuric acid medium. Biointerface Res Appl Chem. 2023;13(5):472.
- 50. Al-Amiery AA, Isahak WNRW, Al-Azzawi WK. Corrosion inhibitors: natural and synthetic organic inhibitors. Lubricants. 2023;11(4):174.
- 51. Belal K, El-Askalany AH, Ghaith EA, Molouk AFS. Novel synthesized triazole derivatives as efective corrosion inhibitors for carbon steel in 1M HCl solution: experimental and computational studies. Sci Rep. 2023;13:22180.
- 52. Fouda AS, El-Askalany A, El-Habab AT, Ahmed S. Anticorrosion proper‑ ties of some nonionic surfactants on carbon steel in 1 M HCl environment. J Bio- Tribo-Corros. 2019;5:56.
- 53. Ienașcu IMC, Căta A, Chis AA, Ştefănuț MN, Sfîrloagă P, Rusu G, Frum A, Chis AA, Morgovan C, Rus LL, Dobrea CM. Some *Brassicaceae* extracts as potential antioxidants and green corrosion inhibitors. Materials. 2023;16(8):2967.
- 54. Fouda AE-AS, Etaiw SEH, Bakr MM, Shalabi K. Ashwagandha extract as green sustainable corrosion inhibitor for aluminum in acidic solutions. Biointerface Res Appl Chem. 2021;11(2):9719–34.
- 55. Idris IA, Nisamaneenate J, Atong D, Sricharoenchaikul V. A Comprehensive study of facemasks pyrolysis using Py-GC/MS, kinetic analysis and ANN modeling. Arabian J Chem. 2024;17(3): 105605.
- 56. Punitha N, Sundaram RG, Vengatesh G, Rengasamy R, Elangovan J. Bis-1,2,3-triazole derivative as an efficient corrosion inhibitor for mild steel in hydrochloric acid environment: Insights from experimental and computational analysis. Inorg Chem Commun. 2023;153: 110732.
- 57. Nishu TS, Mei W, Yang J, Wang Z, Yang G. Efect of anaerobic digestion pretreatment on pyrolysis of distillers' grain: Product distribution, kinetics and thermodynamics analysis. Renew Energ. 2024;221: 119721.
- 58. Sangeetha B, Baskar G. Process design, kinetics, simulation, and technoeconomic analysis of biodiesel production from *Pongamia pinnata* seed oil using a magnetically recyclable acidic ionic liquid catalyst. Energy Convers Manage. 2024;301: 118040.
- 59. Fouda AE-AS, Abd El-Maksoud SA, El-Sayed EH, Elbaz HA, Abousalem AS. Experimental and surface morphological studies of corrosion inhibition on carbon steel in HCl solution using some new hydrazide derivatives. RSC adv. 2021;11:13497–512.
- 60. Kokalj A. On the use of the Langmuir and other adsorption isotherms in corrosion inhibition. Corros Sci. 2023;217: 111112.
- 61. Fouda AE-AS, El-Askalany AH, Molouk AFS, Elsheikh NS, Abousalem AS. Experimental and computational chemical studies on the corrosion inhibitive properties of carbonitrile compounds for carbon steel in aqueous solutions. Sci Rep. 2021;11:21672.
- 62. Dagdag O, Saf Z, Erramli H, Cherkaoui O, Wazzan N, Guo L, Verma C, Ebenso EE, El Harfa A. Adsorption and anticorrosive behavior of

aromatic epoxy monomers on carbon steel corrosion in acidic solution: computational studies and sustained experimental studies. RSC Adv. 2019;9:14782–96.

- 63. Abd El Wanees S, Saleh MGA, Alahmdi MI, Elsayed NH, Aljohani MM, Abdelfattah M, Soliman KA, Alalati ML, Elyan SS. Benzimidazole-modifed chitosan as a controller for the destruction of Al and H2 generation in the acidic environment. Mater Chem Phys. 2024;311:128484.
- 64. Kumar D, Jain KVM, V, Rai B. Accelerating corrosion inhibitor discovery through computational routes: a case of naphthalene 1-thiocarboxamide Npj Mater. Degrad. 2024;8:5.
- 65. Wei J, Liu Y, Li J, Zhu Y, Yu H, Peng Y. Adsorption and co-adsorption of tetracycline and doxycycline by one-step synthesized iron loaded sludge biochar. Chemosphere. 2019;236: 124254.
- 66. Shalabi K, Helmy AM, El-Askalany AH, Shahba MM. New pyridinium bromide mono-cationic surfactant as corrosion inhibitor for carbon steel during chemical cleaning: experimental and theoretical studies. J Mol Liq. 2019;293: 111480.
- 67. Fouda AS, Abdel-Wahed HM, Atia MF, El-Hossiany A. Novel porphyrin derivatives as corrosion inhibitors for stainless steel 304 in acidic environment: synthesis, electrochemical and quantum calculation studies. Sci Rep. 2023;13:17593.
- 68. Abd El-Lateef HM, Shalabi K, Tantawy AH. Corrosion inhibition of carbon steel in hydrochloric acid solution using newly synthesized urea-based cationic fluorosurfactants: Experimental and computational investigations. New J Chem. 2020;44(41):17791–814.
- 69. Khaled MA, Ismail MA, El-Hossiany AA, Fouda AE-AS. Novel pyrimidinebichalcophene derivatives as corrosion inhibitors for copper in 1 M nitric acid solution. RSC Adv. 2021;11:25314–33.
- 70. Farahati R, Mousavi-Khoshdel SM, Ghaffarinejad A, Behzadi H. Experimental and computational study of penicillamine drug and cysteine as watersoluble green corrosion inhibitors of mild steel. Prog Org Coat. 2020;142: 105567.
- 71. El-Sayed A-R, El-Hendawy MM, El-Mahdy MS, Hassan FSM, Mohamed AE. The inhibitive action of 2-mercaptobenzothiazole on the porosity of corrosion flm formed on aluminum and aluminum–titanium alloys in hydrochloric acid solution. Sci Rep. 2023;13:4812.
- 72. Fouda AS, Ismail MA, El-Ewady GY, Abousalem AS. Evaluation of 4-amidinophenyl-2,2[']-bithiophene and its aza-analogue as novel corrosion inhibitors for CS in acidic media: experimental and theoretical study. J Mol Liq. 2017;240:372–88.
- 73. Khaled KF. The inhibition of benzimidazole derivatives on corrosion of iron in 1 M HCl solutions. Electrochim Acta. 2003;48:2493–503.
- 74. Schalenbach M, Durmus YE, Tempel H, Kungl H, Eichel R-A. Double layer capacitances analysed with impedance spectroscopy and cyclic voltammetry: Validity and limits of the constant phase element parameterization. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2021;23:21097–105.
- 75. Saida M, Djahida H, Leila B, Zohra B. Synthesis, characterization and inhibition effect of a new schiff base (E)-3-(((2-amino-4-methylphenyl) imino) methyl) naphthalen-2-ol on the corrosion of carbon steel X48 in acidic medium. Int J of Electrochem Sci. 2017;12:11042–63.
- 76. Motawea MM, El-Hossiany A, Fouda AS. Corrosion control of copper in nitric acid solution using chenopodium extract. Int J Electrochem Sci. 2019;14:1372–87.
- 77. Varvara S, Damian G, Bostan R, Popa M. Inhibition efect of Tantum Rosa drug on the corrosion of copper in 35 wt% NaCl solution. Int J Electrochem Sci. 2022;17:220958.
- 78. Fouda AS, Khalil EM, El-Mahdy GA, Shaban MM, Mohammed AS, Abdelsatar NA. Synthesis and characterization of novel acrylamide derivatives and their use as corrosion inhibitors for carbon steel in hydrochloric acid solution. Sci Rep. 2023;13:3519.
- 79. Tan J, Guo L, Yang H, Zhang F, El Bakri Y. Synergistic efect of potassium iodide and sodium dodecyl sulfonate on the corrosion inhibition of carbon steel in HCl medium: a combined experimental and theoretical investigation. RSC Adv. 2020;10:15163–70.
- 80. Bedair MA, Elaryian HM, Gad ES, Alshareef M, Bedair AH, Aboushahba RM, Fouda AE-AS. Insights into the adsorption and corrosion inhibition properties of newly synthesized diazinyl derivatives for mild steel in hydrochloric acid: synthesis, electrochemical, SRB biological resistivity and quantum chemical calculations. RSC Adv. 2023;13:478–98.
- 81. Hosny S, Abdelfatah A, Gaber GA. Synthesis, characterization, synergistic inhibition, and biological evaluation of novel Schiff base on 304 stainless steel in acid solution. Sci Rep. 2024;14:470.
- 82. Elaryian HM, Bedair MA, Bedair AH, Aboushahba RM, Fouda AE-AS. Synthesis, characterization of novel coumarin dyes as corrosion inhibitors for mild steel in acidic environment: Experimental, theoretical, and biological studies. J Mol Liq. 2022;346:118310.
- 83. Toghan A, Gouda M, Shalabi K, El-Lateef HMA. Preparation, characterization, and evaluation of macrocrystalline and nanocrystalline cellulose as potential corrosion inhibitors for SS316 alloy during acid pickling process: Experimental and computational methods. Polymers. 2021;13(14):2275.
- 84. Al-Sallami KJ, Shalabi K, Fouda AS. Impact of Conyza bonariensis extract on the corrosion protection of carbon steel in 2 M HCl solution. Int J of Electrochem Sci. 2021;16(9): 210929.
- 85. Abdelshaf NS, Farag AA, Heakal FE-T, Badran A-S, Abdel-Azim KM, El Sayed A-RM, Ibrahim MA. In-depth experimental assessment of two new aminocoumarin derivatives as corrosion inhibitors for carbon steel in HCl media combined with AFM, SEM/EDX, contact angle, and DFT/MDs simulations. J Mol Struct. 2024;1304: 137638.
- 86. Ansari KR, Chauhan DS, Quraishi MA, Saleh TA. Surfactant modified graphene oxide as novel corrosion inhibitors for mild steels in acidic media. Inorg Chem Commun. 2020;121: 108238.
- 87. Thirumavalavan M, Lai Y-L, Lee J-F. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopic analysis of fruit peels before and after the adsorption of heavy metal ions from aqueous solution. J Chem Eng Data. 2011;56(5):2249–55.
- 88. Fouda AS, El-Haddad MN, Ismail MA, Abd EA. Investigation of 6-[5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)furan-2-yl] Nicotinonitrile as a new corrosion inhibitor for carbon steel in acidic solution: chemical, electrochemical and quantum chemical studies. J Bio- Tribo-Corros. 2019;5:73.
- 89. Qiang Y, Guo L, Zhang S, Li W, Yu S, Tan J. Synergistic effect of tartaric acid with 2,6-diaminopyridine on the corrosion inhibition of mild steel in 0.5 M HCl. Sci Rep. 2016;6:33305.
- 90. Boulhaoua M, El Hafi M, Zehra S, Eddaif L, Alrashdi AA, Lahmidi S, Guo L, Mague JT, Lgaz H. Synthesis, structural analysis and corrosion inhibition application of a new indazole derivative on mild steel surface in acidic media complemented with DFT and MD studies. Colloids Surf. 2021;617:126373.
- 91. Ghaith EA, Ali HA, Ismail MA, Fouda AE-AS, Abd El Salam M. Synthesis of inventive biphenyl and azabiphenyl derivatives as potential insecticidal agents against the cotton *leafworm Spodoptera littoralis*. BMC. 2023;17:144.
- 92. Fouda AS, Ismail MA, Khaled MA, El-Hossiany AA. Experimental and computational chemical studies on the corrosion inhibition of new pyrimidinone derivatives for copper in nitric acid. Sci Rep. 2022;12:16089.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.