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#### Abstract

Ten novel spectrophotometric approaches were developed for the initial examination of the Hydroxychloroquine and Paracetamol medications. These procedures are straightforward, specific, easy to use, and provide exact and accurate results. The determination was conducted through the utilization of several approaches, including zero order (dual wavelength, zero crossing, advanced absorption subtraction and spectrum subtraction), derivative (first derivative of zero crossing), ratio (ratio difference, ratio derivative) and mathematical (bivariate, simultaneous equation, and Q-absorbance) techniques. After undergoing validation in accordance with ICH criteria, it was established that each of these methods achieved acceptable levels of precision, repeatability, robustness, and accuracy. The advantages and disadvantages of each method are demonstrated, and the proposed and reported methodologies were statistically compared.
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## Introduction

Hydroxychloroquine and paracetamol are medications that have been used for a long time. The most common use of hydroxychloroquine is for the treatment and prophylaxis of malaria. However, this antimalarial drug is known to also have anti-inflammatory and antiviral effects and is used for several chronic diseases such as systemic lupus erythematosus with low adverse effects. The antiviral action of hydroxychloroquine has been a point of interest to different researchers due to its mechanism of action. Several in vitro studies have proven their effectiveness on severe acute respiratory syndrome virus. These investigations include the use of hydroxychloroquine and paracetamol, which is known for its pain-relieving (analgesic) and fever-reducing (antipyretic) properties [1, 2]. Some drugs were applied to nano-structured lipid carriers and niosomal systems [3]. The purpose of this article is to analysis of Hydroxy chloroquine and Paracetamol in a combined state, without prior separation.
Paracetamol (PAR); N-(4-Hydroxyphenyl) acetamide (Fig. 1) is a medication that belongs to the class of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). It works in both the central and peripheral nervous systems to treat non-inflammatory disorders [4]. In general,

Acetaminophen is a widely used nonprescription analgesic and antipyretic medication for mild-to-moderate pain and fever has been stated to be the first-line analgesia according to the World Health Organization (WHO) pain ladder. It remains recommended as the first line of analgesia and antipyretic for patients [5-8].
Hydroxy chloroquine (HCQ); 2- [4- [(7-chloroquinolin-4-yl)amino]pentyl-ethylamino]ethanol (Fig. 2) Hydroxychloroquine is a derivative of chloroquine that has both antimalarial and anti-inflammatory activities and is now most often used as an anti-rheumatologic agent in systemic lupus erythematosus and rheumatoid arthritis [9, 10].
Various techniques for assessing the combination of HCQ and PAR, either in their mixed form or when combined with other medications, have been reported in the literature. The quantification of HCQ and PAR was conducted using spectrophotometric techniques [11-17], Reversed-phase HPLC [18], TLC-densitometric methods [19, 20], UHPLC-MS Technique [21, 22], LC methods [23, 24], GC-mass [25] and potentiometric determination [26, 27].

Currently, there are no documented procedures available for the concurrent analysis of our mentioned drug combinations using recently developed techniques for


Fig. 1 Chemical structures of Hydroxy Chloroquine (HCQ)
resolving overlapping spectra. These techniques include zero order (dual wavelength, zero crossing, advanced absorption subtraction and spectrum subtraction), derivative (first derivative of zero crossing), ratio (ratio difference, ratio derivative) and mathematical (bivariate, simultaneous equation, and Q -absorbance) techniques, as far as our knowledge extends. As a result, the objective of this research is to produce additional straightforward, economical, precise, expeditious, and simple techniques


Fig. 2 Chemical structures of Paracetamol (PAR)
for quantifying the aforementioned pharmaceutical compounds in combination, while minimizing the impact of excipients and additives in pharmaceutical formulations. Furthermore, the devised spectrophotometric methods are more precise and simpler to calculate than those previously reported.

## Experimental

Apparatus
The Model 6800, a true double beam UV/visible spectrophotometer. Using the Jenway Model 6800 Flight Deck Software.

At room temperature, all measurements were performed in a 1 cm quartz cell within a wavelength range of 200-400 nm.

## Materials and reagents

## Pure standards

Hydroxychloroquine and Paracetamol were acquired as a complimentary offering from Egyptian International Pharmaceutical Industries Co. (EIPICO), situated in 10th of Ramadan city, Egypt. Their purity was reported as $99.70 \%$ and $99.80 \%$, respectively.

## Pharmaceutical formulations

Plaquenil ${ }^{\circledR}$ Tablets manufactured by SANOFI, Egypt, with the label claim of containing 200 mg of hydroxychloroquine were obtained from the market.
Panadol ${ }^{\circledR}$ Tablets with a label claim of Paracetamol 500 mg were acquired from the market. They were manufactured by Glaxo Smithkline GSK, Egypt.

## Solvents

## Distilled water

Standard solutions HCQ and PAR stock standard solutions of $1000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ were prepared in pure distilled water. While $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ HCQ and PAR working standard solutions were generated through the process of dilution from the stock solution using purified distilled water.

Laboratory prepared mixtures By precisely transferring measured amounts from their standard solutions into 10 mL volumetric flasks and subsequently diluting with distilled water, solutions with various ratios of HCQ \& PAR were created.

## Procedures

## Construction of calibration curves

To generate working solutions of HCQ , aliquots of HCQ working standard solution ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were added to a series of 10 mL volumetric flasks. The aliquots used were $0.6,0.8,1,1.2,1.4,1.5,1.8,2,2.5,3,3.5,4,4.5,4.8$, and 5 mL . The flasks were then diluted with distilled water to
get working solutions with concentrations ranging from 3 to $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$.
For PAR: $2-35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ working solutions were prepared by the addition of aliquots ( $0.4,0.5,1,1.2,1.5,1.8,2,2.5$, $2.8,3,3.5,3.8,4,4.2,4.5,4.8,5,5.5,6,6.5,7 \mathrm{~mL})$ of PAR working standard solution ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) to 10 mL separate volumetric flasks series and diluting with distilled water.
The individual solutions were subjected to independent scanning, and the absorption spectra were measured at room temperature within the wavelength range of 200 400 nm for all measurements.

## For Zero crossing spectrophotometric method

The amplitude values were taken at a wavelength of 329 nm to determine the concentration of HCQ in the presence of PAR, where the absorbance of PAR is zero. (Fig. 3).

## For Spectrum Subtraction method

Spectrum Subtraction method [28, 29] was used in HCQ determination in presence of PAR. Absorbance was calculated at wavelength 220 nm (Fig. 4) which is the maximum wavelength of HCQ after subtraction of PAR spectra from laboratory mixture of two drugs. Conversely, PAR was measured in the presence of HCQ. Absorbance was calculated at 242.5 nm (Fig. 4) which is the maximum wavelength of PAR after subtraction of HCQ spectra from laboratory mixture of two drugs.

## For dual wavelength method

The dual wavelength technique was employed to quantify the concentration of HCQ in the presence of PAR, as well as to determine the concentration of PAR in the presence of HCQ. The absorbance was measured at wavelengths of 215.5 nm and 261.5 nm , where the absorbance difference of PAR is zero. The concentration of HCQ may be estimated using the regression equation. Alternatively, the absorbance was recorded at wavelengths of 227.5 and 215 nm , where the difference in absorbance of HCQ is negligible (Fig. 4). The value of PAR can be calculated by applying the regression equation $[30,31]$.

## Simultaneous equation method

Simultaneous equation method [32] uses the absorbance of two selected wavelengths, from the overlain spectra (Fig. 4) 220 nm (Kmax of HCQ) and 242.5 nm (Kmax of PAR) were selected for the formation of simultaneous equation. The $A(1 \%, 1 \mathrm{~cm})$ was determined at both the wavelengths selected for each drug. A set of two simultaneous equations was formed as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cx}= & (\mathrm{A} 2 \mathrm{ay} 1-\mathrm{A} 1 \mathrm{ay} 2)(\mathrm{A} 2 \mathrm{ay} 1-\mathrm{A} 1 \mathrm{ay} 2) \\
& /(\text { ax2ay } 1-\text { ax1ay } 2)(\mathrm{ax} 2 \mathrm{ay} 1-\text { ax1ay } 2) \\
\mathrm{Cy}= & (\text { A1ax2 }- \text { A2ax1) }(\mathrm{A} 1 \mathrm{ax} 2-\mathrm{A} 2 \mathrm{ax} 1) \\
& /(\text { ax2ay } 1-\text { ax1ay2) }(\text { ax2ay } 1-\text { ax1ay } 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

where, A1 and A2 are the absorbance of sample solutions at 220 and 242.5 nm , respectively.


Fig. 3 Zero absorption spectra of HCQ measured at 329 nm


Fig. 4 Zero absorption spectra of HCQ overlaid with zero absorption spectra of PAR showing that 220 and 242.5 are the maximum wavelengths for HCQ and PAR, respectively, and showing that 215.5 and 261.5 have the same absorbance in PARA, so we can measure HCQ absorption and 215.5 and 227.5 have the same absorbance in HCQ , so we can measure PAR absorption
ax1 and ax2 $(0.0881,0.0339)$ are $\mathrm{E}(1 \%, 1 \mathrm{~cm})$ of HCQ at 220 and 242.5 nm . ay1 and ay2 $(0.0419,0.0521)$ are E $(1 \%, 1 \mathrm{~cm})$ of PAR at 220 and $242.5 \mathrm{~nm} . \mathrm{Cx}$ and Cy are concentrations of HCQ and PAR in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in sample solution. The values of Cx and Cy were calculated by putting the values of A1 and A2 to solve the simultaneous Eqs.

## For bivariate method

The formula ( $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{Ai}=\mathrm{m} A \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{CA}+\mathrm{e} \mathrm{Ai}$ ) represents the linear calibration regression function employed in spectrophotometry to determine the analyte A at a specific wavelength (i). In this formula, $C$ represents the concentration, $m$ denotes the slope of the linear regression, and e represents the intercept value. If measurements are taken for the binary mixture ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ ) at two selected wavelengths ( $\lambda 1, \lambda 2$ ), we will obtain two sets of equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{AB} 1}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{A} 1} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{B} 1} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{AB} 1} \\
& \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{AB} 2}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{A} 2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{B} 2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{AB} 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{A B 1}$ and $e_{A B 2}$ are the sum of the intercepts at the chosen two wavelengths ( $e_{A B i}=e_{A i}+e_{B i}$ ) then the values of $C_{A} \& C_{B}$ can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{B}= & m_{A 2}\left(A_{A B 1}-e_{A B 1}\right) \\
& +m_{A 1}\left(e_{A B 2}-A_{A B 2}\right) / m_{A B 1} \\
& -m_{A 1} m_{B 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{AB} 1}-\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{AB} 1}-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{B} 1} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{A} 1}
$$

By selecting two specific wavelengths and utilizing the parameters of linear regression to identify each compound at those wavelengths, these simple procedures can facilitate the differentiation of the binary mixture. The best wavelengths can be found using the Kaiser method (Additional file 1: Table S1). For every combination of binary mixture and pair of selected wavelengths, a sequence of sensitivity matrices $K$ is calculated:

where $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{A} 1,2}$ are the slopes (sensitivity parameters) of component A and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{B} 1,2}$ are the slopes (sensitivity parameters) of component $B$. The resolution and determinants of these matrices were calculated. The collection of wavelengths with the maximum absolute matrix determinant was selected [33]. At the same wavelengths (226 and 236 nm ), the bivariate approach was used to determine HCQ and PAR in the presence of each other (Fig. 4).
For Q Absorbance method.
Q Absorbance method uses the ratio of absorbance at two selected wavelengths, one, which is an iso absorptive point and other being the $\lambda \max$ of one of the two components. From the overlay spectra of the two drugs, it is evident that HCQ and PAR show an iso absorptive point at 266 (Fig. 5A) nm and the second wavelength used is 220 nm , which is the $\lambda \max$ of HCQ (Fig. 5B). The absorbances at 266 nm (iso absorptive point) and 220 nm ( $\lambda \max$ of HCQ) were measured and absorptivities were calculated. The concentration of two drugs in the mixture can be calculated using following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C x=(Q m-Q y) \cdot A 1 /(Q x-Q y) \cdot a x 1 \\
& C y=(Q m-Q x) \cdot A 1 /(Q y-Q x) \cdot a y 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{Qm}=\mathrm{A} 2 / \mathrm{A} 1
$$

$$
\mathrm{Qx}=\mathrm{ax} 2 / \mathrm{ax} 1
$$

$$
\mathrm{Qy}=\mathrm{ay} 2 / \mathrm{ay} 1
$$

A2 $=$ Absorbance of Mixture at 220 nm ; A1 = Absorbance of Mixture at 266 nm ; ax1 = absorptivity of HCQ at 266 nm ; ay1 = absorptivity of PAR at 266 nm ; ax2 = absorptivity of HCQ at 220 nm ; ay2 = absorptivity of PAR at 220 nm .

## For advanced absorption subtraction method

PAR was calculated using advanced absorption subtraction $[30,34]$ in the presence of HCQ. The absorbance was determined at wavelengths of 266 and 313.5 nm . The 266 nm wavelength was selected as an iso absorptive point, which allowed for the calculation of the total concentration (Fig. 5A). The absorbance of HCQ is the same for


Fig. 5 A Zero absorption spectrum of $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ HCQ overlaid with $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ PARA and a mixture of $4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ HCQ \& $4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ PARA revealed that 266 nm is an Iso absorptive point and revealed that 266 and 313.5 nm has the same absorbance in HCQ. B Zero absorption spectra of HCQ measured at 220 nm . C Zero absorption of PARA measured at 242.5 nm
wavelengths 266 nm and 313.5 nm , resulting in a difference in absorbance of zero (Fig. 5B), PAR may be calculated by using the regression equation (Fig. 5C).
Zero crossing First derivative spectrophotometric method (D1).
The first derivative corresponding to each absorption spectra was recorded. The amplitude values were measured at 346 and 255.5 nm for the purpose of determination of HCQ and PAR respectively to determine both HCQ (Fig. 6A) and PAR (Fig. 6B) in each other's presence [35].



Fig. 7 Ratio difference spectra of $\mathbf{A} H C Q$ using $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ PARA as a divisor, B PARA using $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ HCQ as a divisor

## For ratio difference method

The determination of HCQ and PAR was conducted using a ratio difference approach [36-38]. HCQ and PAR: The absorption spectra of HCQ at zero concentration were measured and stored. These spectra were then divided by the absorption spectrum of PAR at a concentration of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ (divisor). At 310.5 and 315 nm , the resultant spectra's amplitudes were measured, and the difference between them was calculated and used to create the calibration curve (Fig. 7A). On the other hand, the zero absorption spectra of PAR were captured and stored prior to being divided by the spectrum of $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ HCQ (divisor). The magnitudes of the resulting spectra were measured at 242.5 and 277.5 nm , and the disparity between them was computed and employed to construct the calibration curve. (Fig. 7B), after which the regression equation calculations were performed.

## For ratio derivative method

The ratio derivative method was employed to determine the concentration of HCQ and PAR. The first derivative of the HCQ and PAR values that were previously recorded was computed using the ratio difference method. The amplitudes of the resultant spectra were then measured at a wavelength of 321.5 nm (Fig. 8A) for determination of HCQ and at 286 nm (Fig. 8B) In order to ascertain PAR, calibration curves were subsequently constructed for both medications. Following this, the calculations for the regression equation were performed.

## Evaluation of laboratory prepared mixtures

After preparing different ratios of mixtures in the laboratory, the spectra of these mixtures were evaluated and processed using the suggested methods.

## Pharmaceutical formulation application

After weighing and crushing 5 Plaquenil ${ }^{\circledR}$ tablets, an amount equivalent to each tablet ( 200 mg HCQ ) was


Fig. 8 Ratio Derivative spectra of $\mathbf{A} H C Q$ using $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ PARA as a divisor, B PARA using $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ HCQ as a divisor
combined to achieve a total concentration of 1000 mg HCQ. Similarly, 2 Panadol ${ }^{\circledR}$ tablets were weighed and crushed, and an amount equivalent to each tablet (500 mg PAR) was mixed to reach a total concentration of 1000 mg PAR. Subsequently, 50 mg of this mixture was used for further experimentation with conc (HCQ: PAR) is (1:1) into a 50 mL volumetric flask and diluted with distilled water as follows:
Initially, a volume of 30 mL of pure distilled water was introduced, sonicated, and then diluted to the proper concentration before filtering.
Second, to create a concentration equal to $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ HCQ and PAR, 1 ml of the dilution was transferred into a 100 ml volumetric flask. Furthermore, any further dilutions were performed in 10 mL volumetric flasks and managed according to the prescribed procedures.

## Results and discussion

## Zero crossing spectrophotometric method

The absorbance was recorded at a wavelength of 329 nm , which allows for the determination of HCQ in the presence of PAR, as the absorbance of PAR at 329 nm is nonexistent. The calibration curves demonstrated a valid linear correlation between concentrations and the wavelength throughout the range of $3-25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for HCQ with a correlation coefficient of 0.9995 . The approach demonstrated a high level of accuracy, with accepted values falling within the range of $99.56 \% \pm 1.34$. In addition, the technique specificity demonstrated accepted values falling within the range of $100.49 \% \pm 1.44$. The method is highly accessible, precise, and uncomplicated. However, its drawback lies in its limited applicability, as it can only be employed to determine HCQ (Table 1).

Table 1 Assay parameters and validation results obtained by applying the zero crossing, Spectrum Subtraction, Dual Wavelength and Advanced Absorption Subtraction spectrophotometric methods

| Method Parameters | Zero Crossing <br> HCQ | Spectrum Subtraction |  | Dual Wavelength |  | Advanced Absorption Subtraction PARA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PARA | HCQ | HCQ | PARA |  |
| Wavelength (nm) | 329 | 242.5 | 220 | 215.5-261.5 | 215-227.5 | 266-313.5 |
| Linearity range ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ | 3-25 | 2.5-25 | 1-25 | 3-25 | 2.5-35 | 2.5-35 |
| Intercept | -0.0127 | 0.0123 | -0.0482 | -0.0363 | 0.0096 | 0.0007 |
| Slope | 0.0405 | 0.0594 | 0.0740 | 0.0386 | 0.0162 | 0.0198 |
| Correlation coefficient (r) | 0.9995 | 0.9997 | 0.9997 | 0.9995 | 0.9991 | 0.9996 |
| Accuracy (Mean $\pm$ SD) | $99.56 \pm 1.34$ | $100.42 \pm 0.74$ | $99.39 \pm 1.10$ | $100.38 \pm 0.59$ | $101.64 \pm 0.60$ | $101.69 \pm 0.11$ |
| Precision ( $\pm \%$ RSD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repeatability | $100.35 \pm 0.62$ | $100.51 \pm 0.40$ | $100.04 \pm 0.72$ | $99.90 \pm 1.12$ | $101.33 \pm 0.48$ | $100.57 \pm 0.57$ |
| Intermediate precision | $99.11 \pm 0.66$ | $99.98 \pm 0.73$ | $99.67 \pm 0.76$ | $99.13 \pm 1.37$ | $100.35 \pm 0.74$ | $101.12 \pm 0.81$ |
| Specificity (Mean $\pm$ SD) | $100.49 \pm 1.44$ | $99.87 \pm 0.90$ | $99.43 \pm 0.93$ | $100.31 \pm 1.26$ | $99.32 \pm 1.24$ | $101.09 \pm 0.65$ |

## For spectrum subtraction

Absorbance was measured at 220 nm , where the estimation of HCQ may be done in the presence of PAR. The calibration curves for HCQ demonstrated strong linear relationships between concentrations and spectrum subtraction in the range of $1-25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, as indicated by a correlation coefficient of 0.9997 . The method's accuracy was determined to be $99.39 \% \pm 1.10$, indicating that the authorized values fell within this range. In addition, the method specificity indicated that values of $99.43 \% \pm 0.93$ were considered acceptable. The results are demonstrated in Table 1. In contrast, the absorbance was determined at 242.5 nm , where PAR can be calculated when HCQ is present. With a correlation coefficient of 0.9997 . The calibration curves demonstrated a clear linear relationship between concentrations and the dual wavelength within the $2.5-25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ range for PAR. The accuracy of the method was determined to be within the range of $100.42 \% \pm 0.74$, indicating that the authorized values were within this range. In addition, the approach specificity indicated that the accepted results ranged from $99.87 \% \pm 0.90$. The findings are illustrated in Table 1. Spectrum subtraction is a straightforward and uncomplicated method that does not necessitate any supplementary processing, as it depends just on zero absorption spectra. Acquiring the zero order spectra of the drug can be accomplished with a few simple procedures. However, the presence of noise interference hinders the accurate determination of the target drug concentration through subtraction.

## The dual wavelength approach

The dual wavelength involved measuring the absorbance at specific wavelengths of 215.5 nm and 261.5 nm to identify the existence of HCQ in the presence of PAR. The calibration curves demonstrated a linear relationship between concentrations and the dual wavelength within the range of $3-25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for HCQ, with a high correlation coefficient of 0.9995 . The approach demonstrated a high level of accuracy, with data falling within the range of $100.38 \% \pm 0.59$. In addition, the method specificity demonstrated accepted values ranging from $100.31 \%$ to $101.57 \%$. Alternatively, the absorbance was recorded at wavelengths of 227.5 nm and 215 nm , which allows for the determination of PAR in the presence of HCQ. The calibration curves demonstrated a valid linear connection between concentrations and the dual wavelength within the range of $2.5-35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for PAR, with a high correlation coefficient of 0.9991 . The approach demonstrated a high level of accuracy, with the measured values falling within the range of $101.64 \% \pm 0.60$. In addition, the technique specificity demonstrated accepted values falling within the range of $99.32 \% \pm 1.24$. The findings
are disclosed in Table 1. The dual wavelength approach is a straightforward and precise technique that does not necessitate the use of specialized software applications or further processing. It is the fastest method to determine both drugs.

## For advanced absorption subtraction approach

The advanced absorption subtraction method was employed to evaluate the absorbance of PAR in the presence of HCQ. Measurements were taken at 266 nm and 313.5 nm , with 266 nm selected as the iso-absorptive point. This allows for the simultaneous assessment of both PAR and HCQ in the presence of each other. PAR concentrations had a correlation value of 0.9996 and exhibited a linear relationship in the $2.5-35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ range. The technique displayed acceptable specificity values of $101.09 \% \pm 0.65$ and acceptable accuracy values of $101.69 \% \pm 0.11$ (Table 1). Although this approach is a straightforward and precise technique that does not necessitate the use of specialized software applications or further processing, it still slower than dual wavelength and need a specific condition to be applied.

## For simultaneous equation method

Two wavelengths, 220 nm and 242.5 nm , corresponding to the maximum absorption of HCQ and PAR respectively, were employed to quantify the presence of HCQ and PAR in the same sample. The calibration curves demonstrated valid linear correlations between concentrations and absorbance throughout the respective ranges of $3-25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for HCQ and $2.5-35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for PAR with correlation coefficients of $(0.9996,0.9996)$ for HCQ and of $(0.9996,0.9991)$ for PAR for the two wave lengths. The approach demonstrated a high level of accuracy by aligning with accepted values $(99.85 \% \pm 0.72,100.62 \% \pm 1.22)$ for HCQ and $(100.47 \% \pm 1.35,100.39 \% \pm 1.12)$ for PAR for the two wave lengths. The specificity of the methods demonstrated accepted values with $100.24 \% \pm 1.29$ for HCQ and $99.05 \% \pm 0.44$ for PAR. The method is very basic, accurate, and simple. The sole restriction of this method is that it requires some special calculations to solve the simultaneous Eqs. The results are detailed in Table 2.

## For bivariate method

In the context of statistical analysis, the term "bivariate method" refers to a technique that involves the examination and analysis of two variables simultaneously. The absorbance was measured at 226 and 236 nm , allowing for the simultaneous evaluation of HCQ and PAR utilizing these wavelengths. The calibration curves exhibited satisfactory linear correlations between concentrations and the bivariate in the range of $3-25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for HCQ
Table 2 Assay parameters and validation results obtained by applying the Simultaneous Equation, Bivariate and Q - Absorbance spectrophotometric methods

| Method parameters | Simultaneous equation |  |  |  | Bivariate |  |  |  | Q-Absorbance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HCQ |  | PARA |  | HCQ |  | PARA |  | HCQ |  | PARA |  |
| Wavelength ( nm ) | 220 | 242.5 | 220 | 242.5 | 226 | 236 | 226 | 236 | 266 | 220 | 226 | 220 |
| Linearity range ( $\mu \mathrm{g}$ / $\mathrm{mL})(\mathrm{n}=3)$ | 3-25 | 3-25 | 2.5-35 | 2.5-35 | 3-25 | 3-25 | 2.5-35 | 4-35 | 3-25 | 3-25 | 2.5-35 | 2.5-35 |
| Intercept | -0.0513 | -0.0406 | 0.0009 | 0.0496 | -0.0419 | -0.0425 | 0.0118 | 0.0461 | -0.0160 | -0.0513 | 0.0004 | 0.0009 |
| Slope | 0.0742 | 0.0329 | 0.0336 | 0.0554 | 0.0452 | 0.0427 | 0.0427 | 0.0534 | 0.0208 | 0.0742 | 0.0202 | 0.0336 |
| Correlation coefficient <br> (r) | 0.9996 | 0.9996 | 0.9996 | 0.9991 | 0.9996 | 0.9996 | 0.9997 | 0.9992 | 0.9997 | 0.9996 | 0.9996 | 0.9996 |
| Accuracy (Mean $\pm$ SD) | $99.58 \pm 0.72$ | $100.62 \pm 1.22$ | $100.47 \pm 1.35$ | $100.39 \pm 1.12$ | $99.94 \pm 1.25$ | $100.14 \pm 1.11$ | $102.80 \pm 1.07$ | $102.27 \pm 0.69$ | $101.15 \pm 1.41$ | $99.35 \pm 1.10$ | $100.18 \pm 0.98$ | $101.46 \pm 0.48$ |
| Precision ( $\pm \%$ RSD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repeatability | $100.57 \pm 0.89$ |  | $99.97 \pm 0.73$ |  | $100.45 \pm 1.15$ |  | $101.35 \pm 0.63$ |  | $100.88 \pm 0.63$ |  | $100.40 \pm 0.66$ |  |
| Intermediate precision | $98.97 \pm 0.65$ |  | $100.79 \pm 0.62$ |  | $90.26 \pm 7.01$ |  | $101.92 \pm 1.36$ |  | $99.01 \pm 0.86$ |  | $100.70 \pm 0.64$ |  |
| Specificity (Mean $\pm$ SD) | $100.24 \pm 1.29$ |  | $99.05 \pm 0.44$ |  | $99.75 \pm 1.46$ |  | $101.01 \pm 1.53$ |  | $101.26 \pm 0.70$ |  | $100.27 \pm 1.01$ |  |

and $2.5-35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ range for PAR, with correlation coefficients of 0.9996 and 0.9996 for HCQ and 0.9997 \& 0.9992 for PAR. The method's accuracy showed approved values of $99.94 \% \pm 1.25 \& 100.14 \pm 1.11$ for HCQ and $102.80 \% \pm 1.07$ and $102.27 \pm 0.69$ for PAR. The method specificity revealed accepted values with $99.75 \% \pm 1.46$ for HCQ and $100.01 \% \pm 1.53$ for PAR. The results are illustrated in Table 2.
The bivariate procedures, similar to the ones mentioned above, are fundamental, precise, and straightforward. The only limitation of this method is that it necessitates preliminary computations, specifically the implementation of the Kaiser method, in order to determine the ideal two wavelengths which make it more accurate than simultaneous equation. The results of Kaiser Method are recorded in Additional file 1: Table S1.

## Q absorbance method

The absorbance at wavelengths of 266 nm and 220 nm were utilized to determine the concentrations of HCQ and PAR in the presence of each other. The calibration curves demonstrated valid linear correlations between concentrations and absorbance throughout the range of $3-25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for HCQ and $2.5-35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for PAR with correlation coefficients of 0.9997 \& 0.9996 for HCQ and of 0.9996 for PAR. The accuracy of the method illustrated accepted values with $101.15 \% \pm 1.41 \& 99.35 \% \pm 1.10$ for HCQ and $100.18 \% \pm 0.98 \& 101.46 \pm 0.48$ for PAR. The specificity of the methods demonstrated accepted values with $101.26 \% \pm 0.70$ for HCQ and $100.27 \% \pm 1.01$ for PAR. The results are detailed in Table 2. Q Absorbance is very easy and simple as it depends on zero absorption spectra without the need of extra processing. However, there are two constraints to consider. Firstly, particular calculations are required to calculate the values of Q

Absorbance. Secondly, completing the standard addition on each mixture takes more time.

## Zero crossing first derivative spectrophotometric method (D1)

The developed derivative method depends on taking first derivative for both drugs HCQ and PAR and measuring the drug of interest at peak while another drug is crossing zero as shown in Fig. 5. In this work the amplitude values were measured at 346 and 255.5 nm for determination of HCQ and PAR first order derivative, respectively. The calibration curves exhibited satisfactory linear correlations within the concentration range of $3-22 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ mL for HCQ and $2.5-35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for PAR. The correlation coefficient was found to be 0.9990 for HCQ and 0.9993 for PAR.The method's accuracy showed approved values of $99.84 \% \pm 1.17$ for HCQ and $99.32 \% \pm 0.92$ for PAR. The method specificity revealed accepted values with $100.33 \% \pm 0.95$ for HCQ and $100.94 \% \pm 0.81$ for PAR. Zero crossing derivative method is very easy, accurate, and simple and can overcome the limitation of zero crossing method which determine HCQ only. The results are documented in Table 3.

## For ratio difference method

The Ratio difference approach involved the calculation of HCQ by measuring the absorbance at 310.5 and 315 nm , using $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ PAR as a divisor. Alternatively, by employing a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of HCQ as a divisor, the absorbance was assessed at wavelengths of 242.5 and 277.5 nm in order to ascertain the PAR. With a correlation coefficient of 0.9997 for HCQ and a correlation coefficient of 0.9994 for PAR. The calibration curves exhibited significant linear relationships between concentrations and the ratio difference within the range of $3-25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$

Table 3 Assay parameters and validation results obtained by applying the zero crossing derivative, ratio difference and ratio derivative spectrophotometric methods

| Method parameters | Zero crossing derivative |  | Ratio difference |  | Ratio derivative |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PARA | HCQ | PARA | HCQ | PARA | HCQ |
| Wavelength (nm) | 255.5 | 346 | 277.5-242.5 | 315-310.5 | 286 | 321.5 |
| Linearity range ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) | 2.5-35 | 3-22 | 4-20 | 3-25 | 4-25 | 3-25 |
| Intercept | 0.0006 | -0.0004 | 0.0272 | -3.0589 | 0.0068 | $-5.5719$ |
| Slope | 0.0011 | 0.0028 | 0.1397 | 6.7181 | 0.0156 | 9.7111 |
| Correlation coefficient (r) | 0.9993 | 0.9990 | 0.9994 | 0.9997 | 0.9990 | 0.9995 |
| Accuracy (Mean $\pm$ SD) | $99.32 \pm 0.92$ | $99.84 \pm 1.16$ | $100.65 \pm 0.68$ | $99.63 \pm 1.26$ | $99.27 \pm 1.23$ | $99.48 \pm 0.82$ |
| Precision ( $\pm \%$ RSD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repeatability | $99.77 \pm 0.79$ | $100.39 \pm 1.29$ | $100.19 \pm 0.87$ | $100.66 \pm 0.62$ | $100.39 \pm 1.11$ | $100.05 \pm 1.12$ |
| Intermediate precision | $99.86 \pm 0.77$ | $99.04 \pm 1.00$ | $100.13 \pm 0.82$ | $99.3 \pm 1.34$ | $100.80 \pm 0.64$ | $99.93 \pm 1.45$ |
| Specificity (Mean $\pm$ SD) | $100.33 \pm 0.95$ | $100.94 \pm 0.81$ | $100.88 \pm 0.62$ | $100.54 \pm 0.74$ | $99.50 \pm 1.20$ | $100.82 \pm 0.90$ |

Table 5 Analysis of the pharmaceutical preparation (Plaquenil ${ }^{\circledR}$ tablets) by applying the advanced absorption subtraction method, spectrum subtraction method, Zero Crossing derivative and Bivariate spectrophotometric methods

Table 4 Analysis of the pharmaceutical preparation (Plaquenil ${ }^{\circledR}$ tablets) by applying the Zero Crossing method, dual wavelength, Ratio difference method, and ratio derivative spectrophotometric methods

Table 6 Analysis of the pharmaceutical preparation (Plaqunil ${ }^{\circledR}$ tablets) by applying the Simultaneous equation and Q-absorbance spectrophotometric methods

|  | Simultaneous equation method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q-absorbance method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HCQ |  |  |  | PAR |  |  |  | HCQ |  |  |  | PAR |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Recovery\% |  |  |  | Recovery\% |  |  |  | Recovery\% |  | Tablet <br> Taken ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | Standard <br> Added ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | Tablet | Added |
|  | Tablet <br> Taken ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | Standard <br> Added ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | Tablet | Added | Tablet <br> Taken ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | Standard <br> Added ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | Tablet | Added | Tablet <br> Taken ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | Standard <br> Added ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | Tablet | Added |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | 5 | 101.87 | 98.88 | 10 | 5 | 98.71 | 101.34 | 10 | 5 | 101.98 | 101.01 | 10 | 5 | 101.19 | 100.86 |
|  |  | 10 | 99.91 | 101.24 |  | 10 | 98.07 | 101.47 |  | 10 | 100.49 | 100.41 |  | 10 | 101.89 | 98.30 |
|  |  | 15 | 98.98 | 99.62 |  | 15 | 100.01 | 100.92 |  | 15 | 99.69 | 101.84 |  | 15 | 99.77 | 99.07 |
| Mean |  |  | 100.26 | 100.51 |  |  | 98.93 | 101.24 |  |  | 100.72 | 100.48 |  |  | 100.95 | 99.41 |
| SD |  |  | 1.48 | 1.21 |  |  | 0.99 | 0.29 |  |  | 1.16 | 0.72 |  |  | 1.08 | 1.31 |

for HCQ and $2.5-20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for PAR. The method accuracy revealed accepted values of $99.63 \% \pm 1.26$ for HCQ and $100.65 \% \pm 0.68$ for PAR. Also, the method specificity revealed accepted values of $100.54 \% \pm 0.74$ for HCQ and $100.88 \% \pm 0.62$ for PAR. The results are demonstrated in Table 5. As previously said, the ratio difference approach is a Straightforward and precise method because it doesn't call for any special software. The sole limitation of this procedure is that it requires dividing the spectrum of the target compound by a specific divisor of the other compound and performing multiple tests to identify the most effective divisor. The results are documented in Table 3.

## The ratio derivative approach

The absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 321.5 nm for the determination of HCQ and at a wavelength of 286 nm for the determination of PAR. The calibration curves demonstrated valid linear correlations between concentrations and the ratio derivative within the range of $3-25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for HCQ and $4-25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for PAR. The approach demonstrated a high level of accuracy, with HCQ yielding accepted values of $99.48 \% \pm 0.82$ and PAR yielding acceptable values of $99.72 \% \pm 1.23$. Similarly, the specificity of the methods was also within the accepted range, with HCQ showing values of $100.82 \% \pm 0.90$ and PAR showing values of $99.50 \% \pm 1.20$. While the ratio derivative approach is both straightforward and accurate, it requires an additional step to obtain the derivative of the ratio difference spectra. The results are documented in Table 3.

## Method validation

As per the ICH requirements, validation was conducted for each technique [39]. The linear regression results of the calibration curves indicated a strong linear correlation (Tables 1, 2 and 3).
As demonstrated in Tables 1, 2 and 3, the accuracy was assessed by conducting three replicates of a single concentration, resulting in good outcomes.
The specificity of the approaches was determined by evaluating the laboratory-prepared mixes of HCQ and PAR within the linearity range, resulting in positive findings (Tables 1, 2 and 3).
An investigation on the effects of three distinct drug concentrations the intra- and inter-day precisions can be calculated by doing three measurements on the same day and for three successive days (Tables 1, 2 and 3).

## Pharmaceutical formulation application

To determine HCQ \& PAR in pharmaceutical formulations (Plaquenil ${ }^{\circledR}$ tablets) and (Panadol ${ }^{\circledR}$ tablets), the suggested procedures were successfully applied. The
outcomes were respectable and sufficiently in line with the amounts indicated on the labels. The standard addition method was used, and the results revealed that there was no excipient interference (Tables 4,5 and 6).

## Conclusion

Hydroxychloroquine and Paracetamol in their combination medicinal dose forms were determined using zero order (dual wavelength, zero crossing, advanced absorption subtraction and spectrum subtraction), derivative (first derivative of zero crossing), ratio (ratio difference, ratio derivative) and mathematical (bivariate, simultaneous equation, and Q-absorbance) methods. All of the recommended processes can be effectively implemented for routine analysis using low-tech equipment or technology due to their simplicity, directness, accuracy, and sensitivity. Through a comparison of previous approaches, it was demonstrated that only the ratio difference and ratio derivative methods requires additional processing, while the other methods do not. The best and the fastest method is the dual wavelength method.

Using Distilled Water as solvent considered as green analytical chemistry as it environmentally friendly and no need for organic solvent [40].
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